arXiv:1611.09652v2 [math.AP] 3 Jan 2017

Highly rotating fluids with vertical stratification for periodic data and vanishing vertical viscosity.

Stefano Scrobogna

January 4, 2017

Abstract

We prove that the primitive equations in the periodic domain, with vanishing vertical viscosity can be approximated as $\varepsilon \to 0$ by mean of a suitable limit system. If the initial data has zero horizontal average this convergence is global in time. To prove the convergence of the primitive equations to the limit system we use a method introduced by S. Schochet in [38].

1 Introduction

The primitive equations describe the hydro-dynamical flow in a large scale (of order of hundreds or thousands of kilometers) on the Earth, typically the ocean or the atmosphere, under the assumption that the vertical motion is much smaller than the horizontal one and that the fluid layer depth is small compared to the radius of the earth. Concerning the difference between horizontal and vertical scale, it is also observed that for geophysical fluids the vertical component of the diffusion term (viscosity or thermal diffusivity in the case of primitive equations) is much smaller than the horizontal components. In the case of rotating fluids between two planes (see [26] for the first work in which the initial data is well prepared, in the sense that it is a two-dimensional vector field, [33] and [16] for the generic case) the viscosity assumes the form $\left(-\nu_h\Delta_h - \varepsilon\beta\partial_3^2\right)$, with $\Delta_h = \partial_1^2+\partial_2^2$, whence it makes sense to consider primitive equations with vanishing vertical diffusivity.
The primitive system consists in the following equations

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lcl}
\partial_t v^{1,\varepsilon} + v^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla v^{1,\varepsilon} - \nu_h\Delta_h v^{1,\varepsilon} - \nu_v\partial_3^2 v^{1,\varepsilon} - \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}v^{2,\varepsilon} &=& -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_1\Phi_\varepsilon + f_1\\
\partial_t v^{2,\varepsilon} + v^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla v^{2,\varepsilon} - \nu_h\Delta_h v^{2,\varepsilon} - \nu_v\partial_3^2 v^{2,\varepsilon} + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}v^{1,\varepsilon} &=& -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_2\Phi_\varepsilon + f_2\\
\partial_t v^{3,\varepsilon} + v^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla v^{3,\varepsilon} - \nu_h\Delta_h v^{3,\varepsilon} - \nu_v\partial_3^2 v^{2,\varepsilon} + \dfrac{1}{F\varepsilon}T^\varepsilon &=& -\dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\partial_3\Phi_\varepsilon + f_3\\
\partial_t T^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla T^\varepsilon - \nu'_h\Delta_h T^\varepsilon - \nu'_v\partial_3^2 T^\varepsilon - \dfrac{1}{F\varepsilon}v^{3,\varepsilon} &=& f_4\\
\operatorname{div} v^\varepsilon = 0\\
(v^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon)\Big|_{t=0} = (v_0, T_0) = V_0,
\end{array}\right. \tag{PE$_\varepsilon$}$$

in the unknown $v^\varepsilon = \left(v^{1,\varepsilon}, v^{2\varepsilon}, v^{3,\varepsilon}\right), T^\varepsilon, \Phi_\varepsilon$. We will in the following denote by $V^\varepsilon = (v^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon) = \left(V^{1,\varepsilon}, V^{2,\varepsilon}, V^{3,\varepsilon}, V^{4,\varepsilon}\right)$ where v^ε is a vector field in $\mathbb{R}^3$(three dimensional velocity field) and T^ε is a scalar function (the density fluctuation, in the case of the air it depends on the scalar (potential) temperature, in the case of the oceans it depends on both temperature and salinity), and Φ_ε represents the hydrostatic pressure. All the functions described depend on a couple $(x,t)\in\mathbb{T}^3\times\mathbb{R}_+$ where $\mathbb{T}^3$ represents the torus

$$\mathbb{T}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3\Big/\prod_{i=1}^3 a_i\,\mathbb{Z} = \prod_{i=1}^3\left[0, 2\pi a_i\right).$$

The only assumption which is made on the vertical viscosity is $\nu_v, \nu'_v \geqslant 0$. On the other hand the horizontal viscosities ν_h, ν'_h are strictly positive constants. In fact the results obtained will be uniform with respect to the vertical viscosities $\left(\nu_v, \nu'_v\right)$ and hence from now on we can suppose them zero without loss of generality. We refer to [35] for the result of global well-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equation in critical spaces in the whole

space with anisotropic viscosity and to [36] for the periodic case.

Under the assumption $\nu_v = \nu'_v = 0$ we can rewrite the System (PE_ε) in the more compact form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V^\varepsilon + v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla V^\varepsilon - \mathbf{D} V^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{A} V^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-\nabla \Phi_\varepsilon, 0) + f \\ \operatorname{div} v^\varepsilon = 0 \\ V^\varepsilon\big|_{t=0} = V_0 \end{cases} \tag{PE_ε}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_h \Delta_h & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu_h \Delta_h & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_h \Delta_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \nu'_h \Delta_h \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & F^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & -F^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.1}$$

with $\nu_h, \nu'_h > 0$ and $V^\varepsilon = (v^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon)$.
This system is obtained combining the effects of the Coriolis force and the vertical stratification induced by the Boussinesq approximation (see for instance [15] for the rotating fluids in the whole space, [23] for the periodic case), we refer to [17], [37] for a discussion on the model and its derivations.

In the study of hydrodynamical flows on this scale two important phenomena have to be taken in consideration: the Earth rotation and the vertical stratification due to the gravity. The Coriolis force induces a vertical rigidity on the fluid. Namely, in the asymptotic regime, the high rotation tends to stabilize the motion, which becomes constant in the direction parallel to the rotation axis: the fluid moves along vertical columns (the so called "Taylor-Proudman columns"), and the flow is purely horizontal.
Gravity forces the fluid masses to have a vertical structure: heavier layers lay under lighter ones. Internal movements of the fluid tend to destroy this structure and gravity tries to restore it, which gives a horizontal rigidity (to be opposed to the vertical rigidity induced by the rotation). In order to formally estimate the importance of this rigidity we also compare the typical time scale of the system with the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and define the Froude number Fr. We shall not give more details in here, we refer to [37], [17], [19].
The primitive equations are obtained with moment, energy and mass conservation (see [21]). The coefficient $\varepsilon > 0$ denotes the Rossby number Ro, which is defined as

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\text{displacement due to inertial forces}}{\text{displacement due to Coriolis force}}.$$

As the characteristic displacement of a particle in the ocean within a day is very small compared to the displacement caused by the rotation of the earth (generally ε is of order 10^{-3} outside persistent currents such as the gulf stream), the Rossby number is supposed to be very small hence it makes sense to study the behavior of the solutions to (PE_ε) in the limit regime as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
With a slight abuse of notation we denote as well the coefficient F Froude number, and $Fr = \varepsilon F$. Assuming that the Brunt-Väisälä frequency is constant, in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$, when $\varepsilon \to 0$, the formal limit of the system (PE_ε) is the following quasi-geostrophic system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\mathrm{QG}} + \Gamma(D) V_{\mathrm{QG}} = -\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1} \left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG}} \cdot \nabla_h \Omega \right), \\ \operatorname{div} v_{\mathrm{QG}} = 0 \\ V_{\mathrm{QG}}\Big|_{t=0} = V_{\mathrm{QG},0}, \end{cases} \tag{QG}$$

and $\Gamma(D)$ is the pseudo-differential operator given by the formula

$$\Gamma(D)\, u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{|\xi|^2 \left(\nu |\xi_h|^2 + \nu' F^2 \xi_3^2 \right)}{|\xi_h|^2 + F^2 \xi_3^2} \hat{u}(\xi) \right)$$

We remark that in this case, since we are referring to the works [9] and [8], the viscosities ν, ν' are to be understand as isotropic, i.e. spherically symmetric. In particular the operator Δ_F^{-1} is the Fourier multiplier

$$-\Delta_F^{-1} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + F^2 \xi_3^2} \hat{f} \right),$$

and Δ_F is the differential operator $\Delta_F = \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + F^2\partial_3^2$. The quantities V_{QG} and Ω are respectively called the *quasi-geostrophic flow* and the *potential vorticity*. We focus on the latter first, the potential vorticity is defined as

$$\Omega = -\partial_2 V^1_{\mathrm{QG}} + \partial_1 V^2_{\mathrm{QG}} - F\partial_3 V^4_{\mathrm{QG}},$$

and it is related to the quasi-geostrophic flow via the 2D-like Biot -Savart law

$$V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega,$$

while v^h_{QG} and v_{QG} are respectively the first two and three components of the vector field V_{QG}. We refer to [11] for further detail on the problem in the whole space.
In our setting, i.e. with periodic data the limit system is more involved than the one mentioned above. This can easily be explained. In this case as well as in many problem with singular perturbation the idea is to decompose the unknown (in the case of the system (PE_ε) is V^ε) into two parts $V^\varepsilon = V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ker}} + V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}}$, where $V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ker}}$ belongs to the kernel of the perturbation $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is the Leray projector in the first three components which leaves untouched the fourth one, and $V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}}$ to its orthogonal complement. In the whole space it can be proved that the oscillating part $V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}}$ go to zero *strongly* since the waves go to infinity instantaneously. In the case of periodic data instead these perturbations interact constructively, as in [3], [4], [23] and [34], only to mention some work, whence the limit system is different from the quasi-geostrophic system mentioned above (see (S)). In fact (PE_ε) converge to ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}}$) only weakly (i.e. in the $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3\right)$ topology, see [21] and [22]). In the present work we aim to study the behavior of strong solutions of (PE_ε) in the regime $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the periodic setting for a large class of tori (see Definition 2.8) which may as well present resonant effects. In particular we prove that the equation (FS_Ω) is globally well posed in some suitable space of low-regularity, hence we prove the (global) convergence of solutions of (PE_ε) to solutions of (S), which is globally well posed.

We recall some result on primitive equations. We refer to J.-L. Lions, R. Temam and S. Wang ([30] and [31]) for the asymptotic expansion of the primitive equations with respect the Rossby number ε in spherical and Cartesian geometry.
J.T. Beale and A. J. Bourgeois in [7] study the primitive equations (without viscosity, and with a simplified equation for the density) in a domain which is periodic in the horizontal direction and bounded in the vertical one. By the use of a change of variables they recover a purely periodic setting, on which they prove their result. They study as well the quasi-geostrophic system (fist on short times, then globally) as well as the convergence of primitive equations for very regular (i.e. H^3) and well prepared initial data.
In [21] P. Embid and A. Majda present a general formulation for the movement of geophysical fluids in the periodic setting and derive the limit equation for the kernel part of the solution. This result will be widely used in Section 4.

Several others are the results for the primitive equation in the whole space, a non exhaustive list is [8], [9]. In [12] J.-Y. Chemin proved the convergence of solutions of the primitive equations toward those of the quasi geostrophic system in the case $F = 1$ (hence there is no dispersive effect, see (4.4)), for regular, well prepared data and under the assumption that $\left|\nu - \nu'\right|$ (the difference between the diffusion and the thermal diffusivity) is small. In this case the data is considered to be "well prepared" as long as there is a smallness hypotheses on a part of it, which is denoted by the author as U_{osc}. In the case $F \neq 1$, the dissipation allows not only to prove the convergence to the primitive system to the quasi-geostrophic system but also to prove global existence for solutions when the Rossby number ε is small. Thus the quasi-geostrophic system can be interpreted as the asymptotic of the primitive system when the rotation and the stratification have important influence.
For the inviscid case in the whole space when $F = 1$ we mention the work of D. Iftimie [28] which proves that the potential vorticity Ω propagates $H^s\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right), s > 3/2$ data under the hypothesis $U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc},0} = o_\varepsilon(1)$ in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right)$. If $F \neq 1$ A. Dutrifoy proved in [20] the same result under much weaker assumptions, i.e. Ω_0 is a vortex patch and $\left\|U^\varepsilon_0\right\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\gamma}\right), \gamma > 0$ and small. For the viscid case in the periodic setting I. Gallagher in [23] achieved the result thanks to some normal form techniques introduced by S. Schochet in [38] which we will exploit as well in the following. We mention at last the work of F. Charve and V.-S. Ngo in [11] for the primitive equation in the whole space for $F \neq 1$ and anisotropic vanishing (horizontal) viscosity. We recall that the primitive

equations and the rotating fluid system

$$\partial_t v + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu\Delta v + \frac{e^3\wedge v}{\varepsilon} = -\nabla p, \tag{RF$_\varepsilon$}$$

are intimately connected. The first result of global well posedness in the whole space for (RF$_\varepsilon$) has been achieved in [14] thanks to some Strichartz estimates due to the dispersion of the system. E. Grenier in [25] and A. Babin et al. in [3] proved independently the global well posedness in the periodic case and M. Paicu in [34] in the periodic case for anisotropic viscosity. We recall as well the results in [24] in which I. Gallagher and L. Saint-Raymond proved a weak convergence result for weak solutions for fast rotating fluids in which the rotation is inhomogeneous and given by $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}v\wedge b(x_h)e_3$.

2 Notation and results.

In this article we are interested to obtain a global-in-time result of existence and uniqueness for solutions of the system (PE$_\varepsilon$) and to some results of convergence when the Rossby and Froude number tend to zero at a comparable rate.
Before stating the results that we prove let us give a brief introduction about the spaces that we are going to use.
All the vector fields that we consider are real i.e we consider applications of the following form $V:\mathbb{T}^3\to\mathbb{R}^4$. We will often associate to a vector field V the vector field $v:\mathbb{T}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, which is simply the projection on the first three components of V. Moreover all the vector fields considered are periodic in all their components $x_i, i=1,2,3$ and have zero global average, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v\mathrm{d}x = 0$, which is equivalent to assume that the first Fourier coefficient $\hat{V}_0 = 0$. We remark that this property is preserved for the Navier-Stokes equations as well as for the primitive equations (PE$_\varepsilon$). We will always work with divergence-free vector fields.

2.1 Anisotropic spaces.

The anisotropy of the problem forces to introduce anisotropic spaces, i.e. spaces which behave differently in the horizontal and vertical directions. Let us recall that, in the periodic case, the non-homogeneous Sobolev anisotropic spaces are defined by the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{s,s'}(\mathbb{T}^3)} = \|u\|^2_{H^{s,s'}} = \sum_{n=(n_h,n_3)\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\left(1+|\check{n_h}|^2\right)^s\left(1+|\check{n_3}|^2\right)^{s'}|\hat{u}_n|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

where we denoted $\check{n}_i = n_i/a_i, \check{n}_h = (\check{n}_1,\check{n}_2)$ and the Fourier coefficients $\hat{u}_n$ are given by $u=\sum_n \hat{u}_n e^{2\pi i\check{n}\cdot x}$. In the whole text $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform and $\mathcal{F}^v$ the Fourier transform in the vertical variable.
We are interested to study the regularity of the product of two distributions (which is a priori not well defined), in the framework of Soboled spaces it can be proved (see [23]) the following product rule

Lemma 2.1. *Let u, v be two distributions with zero average defined on $H^s\left(\mathbb{T}^d\right)$ and $H^t\left(\mathbb{T}^d\right)$ respectively, with $s+t>0,\ s,t<d/2$, then*

$$\|u\cdot v\|_{H^{s+t-d/2}\left(\mathbb{T}^d\right)} \leqslant C_{s,t}\|u\|_{H^s\left(\mathbb{T}^d\right)}\|v\|_{H^t\left(\mathbb{T}^d\right)}.$$

As in classical isotropic spaces (see [1]) if $s>1/2$ the space $H^s\left(\mathbb{T}^1_v\right)$ is a Banach algebra. Combining this fact with the above lemma we deduce the following result which we shall apply all along the paper

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u\in H^{s_1,s'}$, $v\in H^{s_2,s'}$ distributions with zero horizontal average with $s_1+s_2>0,\ s_1,s_2<1$ and $s'>1/2$, then $u\cdot v\in H^{s_1+s_2-1,s'}$ and the following bound holds true*

$$\|u\cdot v\|_{H^{s_1+s_2-1,s'}} \leqslant C\|u\|_{H^{s_1,s'}}\|v\|_{H^{s_2,s'}}.$$

Let us recall as well the definition of the anisotropic Lebesgue spaces, we denote with $L^p_hL^q_v$ the space $L^p\left(\mathbb{T}^2_h;L^q\left(\mathbb{T}^1_v\right)\right)$, defined by the norm:

$$\|f\|_{L^p_hL^q_v} = \left\|\|f(x_h,\cdot)\|_{L^q\left(\mathbb{T}^1_v\right)}\right\|_{L^p\left(\mathbb{T}^2_h\right)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2_h}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^1_v}|f(x_h,x_3)|^q\,\mathrm{d}x_3\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\mathrm{d}x_h\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

in a similar way we define the space $L^q_vL^p_h$. It is well-known that the order of integration is important as it is described in the following lemma

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant q$ and $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ a function belonging to $L^p\left(X_1; L^q(X_2)\right)$ where $\left(X_1;\mu_1\right), \left(X_2;\mu_2\right)$ are measurable spaces, then $f \in L^q\left(X_2; L^p(X_1)\right)$ and we have the inequality*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(X_2;L^p(X_1))} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p(X_1;L^q(X_2))}$$

In the anisotropic setting the Hölder inequality becomes;

$$\|fg\|_{L_h^p L_v^q} \leqslant \|f\|_{L_h^{p'} L_v^{q'}} \|g\|_{L_h^{p''} L_v^{q''}},$$

where $1/p = 1/p' + 1/p'', 1/q = 1/q' + 1/q''$.

2.2 Results.

We recall at first the result of local existence and uniqueness of solutions for Navier-Stokes equations without vertical viscosity and periodic initial conditions.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $s > 1/2$ and $V_0 \in H^{0,s}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ (see (2.1)) a divergence-free vector field. Then there exists a time $T > 0$ independent of ε and a unique solution V^ε for the system (PE_ε) which belongs to the space*

$$V^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T]; H^{0,s}\right), \qquad \nabla_h V^\varepsilon \in L^2\left([0,T]; H^{0,s}\right).$$

The existence part of Theorem 2.4 has been proved in [14], while the uniqueness (in the same energy space) has been proved in [29].

Remark 2.5. We want to point out that, as it has been proved by M. Paicu in the work [36] (see Proposition 5.1 at page 23) the maximal lifespan does not depend on the regularity of the initial data, as long as $V_0 \in H^{0,s}$, $s > 1/2$. ♦

In order to state the result of convergence for the sequence of solutions of (PE_ε) and the global existence results for the limit system we briefly introduce the "filtering operator" and the limit system. Let $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ be the semigroup generated by $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathbb{P}$ is the Leray projector on the divergence-free vector fields on the first three components, while leaves unchanged the fourth. In particular the Leray projector in three dimensions is given by the formula $\mathbb{P}^{(3)} = \mathbb{1} - \mathcal{R}^{(3)} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{(3)}$, where $\mathcal{R}^{(3)}$ is the three dimensional Riesz transform given by

$$\mathcal{R}^{(3)} = \left(\frac{\partial_1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}, \quad \frac{\partial_2}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}, \quad \frac{\partial_3}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \right),$$

while $\mathcal{A}$ is the matrix defined in (1.1). In the same way we define the operators $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}, \Lambda_h = \sqrt{-\Delta_h}, \Lambda_v = |\partial_3|$. Let $\mathcal{L}(t)V_0$ be the unique global solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\mathrm{L}} + \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}\, V_{\mathrm{L}} = 0 \\ V_{\mathrm{L}}\big|_{t=0} = V_0. \end{cases}$$

Let us further define $U^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}V^\varepsilon$. We will denote U^ε as the sequence of filtered solutions, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(U,V) &= \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}\left[\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U \cdot \nabla \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)V\right] \\ \mathbb{D}^\varepsilon U &= \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbf{D}\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ is defined in (1.1), and we consider their limits $\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{D}$ in $\mathcal{D}'$ (we shall see that these limit exists). We introduce the following system which we will denote as limit system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + \mathcal{Q}(U,U) - \mathbb{D}U = \tilde{f} \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ U|_{t=0} = V_0, \end{cases} \tag{S}$$

We stress out the fact that the limit system (S) is similar to a 3D Navier-Stokes system. We use on purpose the ambiguous word "similar" since the bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ shares many similarities with the transport form, but it is substantially different as far as concerns product laws. This improved regularity is what will allow us to prove that (S) is globally well posed in some suitable critical space of low regularity.

Since we are working in the periodic setting resonant effect may play an important role. Other authors (see, for instance, [4] and [34]) have already dealt with this kind of problem.

Definition 2.6. The resonant set $\mathcal{K}^\star$ is the set of frequencies such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}^\star &= \left\{(k,m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^9 \;\middle|\; \omega^a(k)+\omega^b(m)=\omega^c(n) \text{ with } k+m=n, \quad (a,b,c)\in\{-,+\}\right\},\\ &= \left\{(k,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^6 \;\middle|\; \omega^a(k)+\omega^b(n-k)=\omega^c(n), \quad (a,b,c)\in\{-,+\}\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where ω^a, $a=\pm$ are the eigenvalues of a suitable operator (see Subsection 4.1 for further details) which in particular take the following form

$$i\,\omega^{\pm}(n) = \pm\frac{i}{F}\frac{\sqrt{|\check{n}_h|^2+F^2\check{n}_3^2}}{|\check{n}|}.$$

We may as well associate a resonant space to a determinate frequency n, in this case we define

$$\mathcal{K}^\star_n = \left\{(k,m)\in\mathbb{Z}^6 \;\middle|\; \omega^a(m)+\omega^b(k)=\omega^c(n) \text{ with } k+m=n, \quad (a,b,c)\in\{-,+\}\right\}.$$

Definition 2.7. We say that the torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ is non-resonant if $\mathcal{K}^\star=\emptyset$.

Tori which are non-resonant, are, generally, a better choice since the oscillating part of the solution satisfies a linear equation (see [23]). Indeed though a generic torus may as well present resonant effects. For this reason we introduce the following definition

Definition 2.8. We say that a torus $\mathbb{T}^3\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies the condition $(\mathcal{P})$ if either one or the other of the following situation is satisfied:

1. $\mathbb{T}^3$ is non-resonant.
2. If $\mathbb{T}^3$ is resonant, the Froude number F^2 is rational, and either
   - $a_3^2/a_1^2\in\mathbb{Q}$ and a_3^2/a_2^2 is not algebraic of degree smaller or equal than four.
   - $a_3^2/a_2^2\in\mathbb{Q}$ and a_3^1/a_2^2 is not algebraic of degree smaller or equal than four.

Remark 2.9. The above definition (Definition 2.8) is motivated in Section 4.2.1. In fact the point 2 ensures us that even with resonant effects we can propagate the horizontal average of the initial data, thing that, generally, is not true for Navier-Stokes equations. ♦

Although (S) is an hyperbolic system in the vertical variable we are able to prove that there exist weak (in the sense of distributions) global solutions. This has been first remarked by M. Paicu in [34] and it due to the fact that the limit bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ has in fact better product rules than the standard bilinear form in Navier-Stokes equations (see as well Lemma A.4). The complete statement of the theorem is the following one.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathbb{T}^3$ be a 3-dimensional torus in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let $F\neq 1$, for each divergence-free vector field $V_0\in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $\Omega_0=-\partial_2 v_0^1+\partial_1 v_0^2-F\partial_3 T_0\in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ there exists a distributional solution to the system*

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t U+\mathcal{Q}(U,U)-\mathbb{D}U=0\\ \operatorname{div} u=0\\ U\big|_{t=0}=V_0,\end{cases}\tag{S}$$

in the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{T}^3)$ which moreover belongs to the space

$$U\in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\right)\qquad\qquad \nabla_h U\in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)\right),$$

and satisfies the following energy estimate

$$\|U(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}+c\int_0^t\|\nabla_h U(s)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}s\leqslant C\|U_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

where the constant $c=\min\{\nu_h,\nu_h'\}>0$.

We remark that Theorem 2.10 holds for any three-dimensional torus. We do not require the condition $(\mathcal{P})$ to hold.
Thanks to some a priori estimates on the solutions of the limit system (S) we can state the following improvement of the above theorem, at the cost of having well prepared initial data and tori which satisfy Condition $(\mathcal{P})$. We say that a data V_0 is well prepared if it has zero horizontal mean, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2_h} V_0(x_h, x_3)\,\mathrm{d}x_h = 0$. This property is conserved by the limit system (S) for almost every torus in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (see Lemma 4.4, 4.5). Moreover we ask as well that the potential vorticity, defined as

$$\Omega^\varepsilon(t,x) = -\partial_2 v^{1,\varepsilon}(t,x) + \partial_1 v^{2,\varepsilon}(t,x) - F\partial_3 T^\varepsilon(t,x). \tag{2.2}$$

is an $H^{0,s}$ function at time $t=0$, i.e. $\Omega_0 \in H^{0,s}$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\mathbb{T}^3$ satisfy the condition $(\mathcal{P})$ and consider a vector field $U_0 \in H^{0,s}$ with zero horizontal average and $\Omega_0 \in H^{0,s}$, for $s \geqslant 1$ such that* $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$, *$F \neq 1$ the limit system* (S) *admits a global solution*

$$U \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right), \qquad \nabla_h U \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right),$$

such that satisfies the following energy bound

$$\|U(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h U(s)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \leqslant \mathcal{E}\left(\|U_0\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right),$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a suitable (bounded on compact sets) function. Moreover the solution U is unique in the space $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,\sigma}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{1,\sigma}\right)$ for $\sigma \in [-1/2, s)$.

The above theorem is purely a propagation of regularity result, no existence is involved. This refined regularity is be crucial in order to prove the convergence result to solutions of $(\mathrm{PE}_\varepsilon)$.

Remark 2.12. Compared to the work of M. Paicu [34] the author requires only $s > 1/2$. This discrepancy is due to the fact that in the present work the limit system is well-posed only for $s \geqslant 1$, indeed we are able to propagate $H^{0,s}$, $s \geqslant 0$ norms for the potential vorticity Ω, and, as explained in Lemma 4.3, $\left\|V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right\|_{H^{0,s+1}} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}$.

The main idea in the propagation of regularity stated in Theorem 2.11 is that we can recover the missing viscosity in the vertical direction using the fact that the vector field u is divergence-free. We can in fact observe that in the nonlinear term the vertical derivative is always multiplied by the third component u^3 of the vector field considered (i.e. terms of the form $u^3\partial_3$). We hence remark the fact that the term $\partial_3 u^3$ is more regular thanks to the relation $-\partial_3 u^3 = \operatorname{div}_h u^h$, and due to the fact that the horizontal viscosity has a regularizing effect on the derivatives in the horizontal variable x_h.

We can at this point state the theorem of convergence that connects the solution of $(\mathrm{PE}_\varepsilon)$ with the solutions of the limit system (S).

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\mathbb{T}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfy the condition $(\mathcal{P})$, $\Omega_0 = -\partial_2 v_0^1 + \partial_1 v_0^2 - F\partial_3 T_0 \in H^{0,s}$, $U_0 \in H^{0,s}$ with zero horizontal average. Let $V_0 \in H^{0,s}$ for $s > 1$ a divergence free vector field, let V^ε be a local solution of* $(\mathrm{PE}_\varepsilon)$ *and U be the unique global solution of the limiti system* (S). *Then the following convergences take place*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(V^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U\right) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,\sigma}\right)$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\nabla_h\left(V^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U\right) = 0 \qquad \text{in } L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,\sigma}\right)$$

for $\sigma < s$.
The unkown U satisfying the limit system (S) *can be decomposed as $U = U_{\mathrm{QG}} + U_{\mathrm{osc}} = V_{\mathrm{QG}} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}$ where V_{QG} satisfies the following system*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\mathrm{QG}} + a_{\mathrm{QG}}(D_h) V_{\mathrm{QG}} = -\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG}} \cdot \nabla_h \Omega\right), \\ \operatorname{div}_h v^h_{\mathrm{QG}} = \operatorname{div} v_{\mathrm{QG}} = 0 \\ V_{\mathrm{QG}}\Big|_{t=0} = V_{\mathrm{QG},0}, \end{cases}$$

and U_{osc} *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_{\mathrm{osc}} + \mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right) + \mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right) + a_{\mathrm{osc}}(D)\, U_{\mathrm{osc}} &= 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u_{\mathrm{osc}} = 0 \\ U_{\mathrm{osc}}\Big|_{t=0} = U_{\mathrm{osc},0} = (V_0)_{\mathrm{osc}}, \end{cases}$$

in particular a_{QG} *and* a_{osc} *are Fourier symbols such that there exist two positive constants* $0 < c_1 \leqslant c_2 < \infty$ *such that, for any tempered distribution* u

$$c_1 |\xi_h|^2 \leqslant \left| a_{\mathrm{QG}}(\xi)\, \hat{u}(\xi) \right|, \ |a_{\mathrm{osc}}(\xi)\, \hat{u}(\xi)| \leqslant c_2 |\xi_h|^2,$$

and $\mathcal{Q}$ *is a bilinear form which shares many aspects with the more classical bilinear form of Navier-Stokes equations, but has better properties as far as the regularity of the product is concerned (see Appendix A.2 for the product rules and* (4.14) *for the proper definition).*

The paper is divided as follows

- In Section 3 we introduce some mathematical tools that will be useful in the development of the paper.
- Section 4 represents a very important part of the paper. In this Section Theorem 2.10 and 2.11 are proved and it is divided as follows:
  - We study at first the linear system (4.1), in particular a careful spectral analysis of the operator $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ is performed.
  - Defining the auxiliary unknown $U^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}V^\varepsilon$ we deduce the filtered system (FS_ε). Such system is of relevance for several reasons: at first we can bound the time-derivative $\partial_t U^\varepsilon$ uniformly in ε in some Sobolev space of negative index sufficiently large. Secondly, and most important, the filtered bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon$ defined in (4.8) is particularly well-adapted in order to study the limiting behavior as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
  - Next in Section 4.2 we study the distributional limit of (FS_ε) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In particular we study how the limit system (S) behaves once it is projected onto the eigenspace generated by the eigenvectors of the operator $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$.
  - In Section 4.2.1 we make sense of the Condition ($(\mathcal{P})$) proving that such hypothesis is essential in the propagation of the horizontal average for the limit system (S).
  - Section 4.3 is devoted to prove that there exist distributional solutions of the limit system (S). Such deduction is not a standard application of compactness methods: being the diffusivity considered to be in the horizontal directions the anisotropic Sobolev space $H^{1,0}$ is *not compactly embedded* in $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$. Such result is achieved nonetheless thanks to some improved product rule satisfied by the limit form $\mathcal{Q}$ (see Lemma A.4) and to the localization (in the Fourier space) of the bilinear interactions. Such localization allow us to commute a vertical derivative ∂_3 in a multi-index $\left(\partial_1^{N_1}, \partial_2^{N_2}\right)$: this is the key observation in the proof of Theorem 2.10.
  - In Section 4.4 and 4.5 is proved that (FS_Ω) and ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) propagate $H^{0,s}$ regularity: this will be hence used in Section 4.6 in order to prove Theorem 2.11.
- Lastly in Section 5 we prove Theorem 2.13, i.e. that we can approximate the solutions of (PE_ε) as $\varepsilon \to 0$ with the (global) solutions of (S) in some topology of strong type.
- In the Appendix A various technical results are proved.

3 Preliminaries.

This section is devoted to introduce the mathematical tools that will be used all along the paper and which are necessary to understand the contents described in the following pages.

3.1 Elements of Littlewood-Paley theory.

A tool that will be widely used all along the paper is the anisotropic theory of Littlewood–Paley, which consists in doing a dyadic cut-off of the vertical frequencies.
Let us define the (non-homogeneous) vertical truncation operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_q^v u &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{u}_n \varphi\left(\frac{|\check{n}_3|}{2^q}\right) e^{i\check{n}\cdot x} && \text{for } q \geqslant 0\\
\triangle_{-1}^v u &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{u}_n \chi\left(|\check{n}_3|\right) e^{i\check{n}\cdot x} &&\\
\triangle_q^v u &= 0 && \text{for } q \leqslant -2
\end{aligned}$$

where $u \in \mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ and $\hat{u}_n$ are the Fourier coefficients of u. The functions φ and χ represent a partition of the unity in $\mathbb{R}$, which means that are smooth functions with compact support such that

$$\text{Supp}\,\chi \subset B\left(0, \frac{4}{3}\right), \qquad\qquad \text{Supp}\,\varphi \subset \mathcal{C}\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{8}{3}\right),$$

Moreover for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the sequence $\left(\chi(\cdot), \varphi\left(2^{-q}\cdot\right)\right)_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a partition of the unity. Let us define further the vertical cut-off operator as $S_q^v u = \sum_{q'\leqslant q-1} \triangle_{q'}^v u$.

3.1.1 Anisotropic paradifferential calculus.

The dyadic decomposition turns out to be very useful also when it comes to study the product between two distributions. We can in fact, at least formally, write for two distributions u and v

$$u = \sum_{q\in\mathbb{Z}} \triangle_q^v u; \qquad\qquad v = \sum_{q'\in\mathbb{Z}} \triangle_{q'}^v v; \qquad\qquad uv = \sum_{\substack{q\in\mathbb{Z}\\ q'\in\mathbb{Z}}} \triangle_q^v u \triangle_{q'}^v v \tag{3.1}$$

We are going to perform a Bony decomposition in the vertical variable (see [5], [6], [13] for the isotropic case and [14], [27] for the anisotropic one).
Paradifferential calculus is a mathematical tool for splitting the above sum in three parts

$$uv = T_u^v v + T_v^v u + R^v(u, v),$$

where

$$T_u^v v = \sum_q S_{q-1}^v u \triangle_q^v v, \qquad T_v^v u = \sum_{q'} S_{q'-1}^v v \triangle_{q'}^v u, \qquad R^v(u,v) = \sum_k \sum_{|\mu|\leqslant 1} \triangle_k^v u \triangle_{k+\mu}^v v.$$

In particular the following almost orthogonality properties hold

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'-1}^v a \triangle_{q'}^v b\right) &= 0 && \text{if } \left|q-q'\right| \geqslant 5\\
\triangle_q^v\left(\triangle_{q'}^v a \triangle_{q'+\mu}^v b\right) &= 0 && \text{if } q' < q-4,\ |\mu| \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}$$

and hence we will often use the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_q^v(uv) &= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'-1}^v v \triangle_{q'}^v u\right) + \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'-1}^v u \triangle_{q'}^v v\right) + \sum_{q'\geqslant q-4} \sum_{|\mu|\leqslant 1} \triangle_q^v\left(\triangle_{q'}^v u \triangle_{q'+\mu}^v v\right)\\
&= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'-1}^v v \triangle_{q'}^v u\right) + \sum_{q'>q-4} \triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'+2}^v u \triangle_{q'}^v v\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In the paper [18] J.-Y. Chemin and N. Lerner introduced the following asymmetric decomposition, which was first used by J.-Y. Chemin et al. in [14] in its anisotropic version. This particular decomposition turns out to be very useful in our context

$$\triangle_q^v(uv) = S_{q-1}^v u \triangle_q^v v + \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left\{\left[\triangle_q^v, S_{q'-1}^v u\right] \triangle_{q'}^v v + \left(S_q^v u - S_{q'-1}^v u\right) \triangle_q^v \triangle_{q'}^v v\right\} + \sum_{q'>q-4} \triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'+2}^v v \triangle_{q'}^v u\right), \tag{3.3}$$

where the commutator $\left[\triangle_q^v, a\right] b$ is defined as $\left[\triangle_q^v, a\right] b = \triangle_q^v (ab) - a\triangle_q^v b$.

All along the following we shall denote as $(b_q)_{q\geqslant -1}$ any sequence which is summable that may depend on different parameters such that $\sum_q b_q \leqslant 1$. In the same way we shall denote as $(c_q)_q \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ any sequence such that $\sum_q c_q^2 \leqslant 1$. As well C is a (large) positive constant independent of any parameter and c a small one, these two constants may differ implicitly from line to line. We remark that the regularity of a function can be rephrased in the following way: we say that $u \in H^{0,s}$ only if there exists a sequence $(c_q)_q$ depending on u such that

$$\left\| \triangle_q^v u \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant C\, c_q(u)\, 2^{-qs} \|u\|_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.1.2 Dyadic blocks and commutators as convolution operators.

The dyadic blocks and the low-frequencies truncation operators can be seen as convolution operators, in particular if we denote as $h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$ and $g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle_q^v u &= \varphi\left(2^{-q}D\right) u = 2^q \int_{\mathbb{T}} h\left(2^q y\right) u\left(x-y\right) \mathrm{d}y, \\ S_q^v u &= \chi\left(2^{-q}D\right) u = 2^q \int_{\mathbb{T}} g\left(2^q y\right) u\left(x-y\right) \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

This is due to the fact that $\triangle_q^v u(x) = (\mathcal{F}^v)^{-1}\left(\varphi(\cdot)\,\hat{u}(\cdot)\right)(x)$. We introduce this alternative way to consider commutators and truncations because we need it in Appendix A. In particular we want to express a commutator as a convolution operator, since a commutator is defined as

$$\left[\triangle_q^v, a\right] b(x) = \triangle_q^v (ab)(x) - a(x)\triangle_q^v b(x),$$

and we apply to the right hand side of the above equation the relation in (3.5) we obtain in fact that

$$\left[\triangle_q^v, a\right] b(x) = 2^q \int_{\mathbb{T}} h\left(x_h, x_3 - y_3\right)\left(a\left(x_h, y_3\right) - a\left(x_h, x_3\right)\right) b\left(x_h, y_3\right) \mathrm{d}y_3.$$

Thanks to Taylor expansion with reminder in Cauchy form we know that

$$a\left(x_h, y_3\right) - a\left(x_h, x_3\right) = \partial_3 a\left(x_h, x_3 + \tau\left(x_3 - y_3\right)\right)\left(x_3 - y_3\right),$$

for some $\tau \in (0,1)$, hence we can write the commutator as

$$\left[\triangle_q^v, a\right] b(x) = 2^q \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(x_3 - y_3\right) h\left(x_h, x_3 - y_3\right) \partial_3 a\left(x_h, x_3 + \tau\left(x_3 - y_3\right)\right) b\left(x_h, y_3\right) \mathrm{d}y_3. \tag{3.6}$$

3.1.3 Some basic estimates.

The interest in the use of the dyadic decomposition is that the derivative in the vertical direction of a function localized in vertical frequencies of size 2^q acts like the multiplication of a factor 2^q (up to a constant independent of q). In our setting (periodic case) a Bernstein type inequality holds. For a proof of the following lemma we refer to the work [27].

Lemma 3.1. *Let u be a function such that* $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}^v u \subset \mathbb{T}_h^2 \times 2^q \mathcal{C}$, *where $\mathcal{F}^v$ denotes the Fourier transform in the vertical variable. For all integers k, $p \in [1,\infty]$, $1 \leqslant r' \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$, the following relations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{qk} C^{-k} \|u\|_{L_h^p L_v^r} &\leqslant \left\| \partial_{x_3}^k u \right\|_{L_h^p L_v^r} \leqslant 2^{qk} C^k \|u\|_{L_h^p L_v^r}, \\ 2^{qk} C^{-k} \|u\|_{L_v^r L_h^p} &\leqslant \left\| \partial_{x_3}^k u \right\|_{L_v^r L_h^p} \leqslant 2^{qk} C^k \|u\|_{L_v^r L_h^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let now $\infty \geqslant r \geqslant r' \geqslant 1$ be real numbers. Let $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}^v u \subset \mathbb{T}_h^2 \times 2^q B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_h^p L_v^r} &\leqslant C 2^{q\left(\frac{1}{r'} - \frac{1}{r}\right)} \|u\|_{L_h^p L_v^{r'}} \\ \|u\|_{L_v^r L_h^p} &\leqslant C 2^{q\left(\frac{1}{r'} - \frac{1}{r}\right)} \|u\|_{L_v^{r'} L_h^p} \end{aligned}$$

The following are inequalities of Gagliardo-Niremberg type, we will avoid to give the proofs of such tools since they are already present in [34].

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant C such that for all periodic vector fields u on $\mathbb{T}^3$ with zero horizontal average ($\int_{\mathbb{T}^2_h} u(x_h, x_3)\,\mathrm{d}x_h = 0$) we have*

$$\|u\|_{L^2_v L^4_h} \leqslant C_1 \|u\|_{H^{1/2,0}} \leqslant C_2 \|u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla_h u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}. \tag{3.7}$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (3.7) we can deduce the following result

Corollary 3.3. *Let u be a periodic vector field such that* Supp $\mathcal{F}^v u \subset \mathbb{T}^2_h \times 2^q B$, *then*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_v L^2_h} \leqslant C 2^{q/2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \tag{3.8}$$

moreover if u has zero horizontal average

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_v L^4_h} \leqslant C 2^{q/2} \|u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla_h u\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let s be a real number and $\mathbb{T}^3$ a three dimensional torus. For all vector fields u with zero horizontal average, the following inequality holds*

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \leqslant C \|u\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h u\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \tag{3.10}$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let $s > 1/2$. There exists a constant C such that the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_v L^2_h} \leqslant C \|u\|_{H^{0,s}}$$

holds. Moreover if u is of zero horizontal average we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_v L^4_h} \leqslant C \|u\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h u\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}$$

Finally we state a lemma that shows that the commutator with the truncation operator in the vertical frequencies is a regularizing operator. The proof of such lemma can be found in [36].

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{T}^3$ be a 3D torus and p, r, s real positive numbers such that $\infty \geqslant r', s', p, r, s \geqslant 1$ $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{s'} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s}$. There exists a constant C such that for all vector fields u and v on $\mathbb{T}^3$ we have the inequality*

$$\left\| \left[\triangle^v_q, u \right] v \right\|_{L^2_v L^p_h} \leqslant C 2^{-q} \|\partial_3 u\|_{L^{r'}_v L^r_h} \|v\|_{L^{s'}_v L^s_h}$$

4 The limit system as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

The aim of this section is to introduce what we call a "filtered system" which is intimately connected to the primitive equation (PE_ε). We do so since, considering the structure of (PE_ε), we do not have any possibility to obtain any sort of bound on the time derivative $\partial_t V^\varepsilon$ in any Sobolev space uniformly in ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

4.1 The filtering operator $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$.

Let us consider the following linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\mathrm{L}} + \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}\, V_{\mathrm{L}} = 0 \\ V_{\mathrm{L}}|_{t=0} = V_0 \end{cases}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ is the Leray projection onto the divergence free vector fields, without changing V^4_{L}. The Fourier multiplier associated to $\mathbb{P}$ has the following form

$$\mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{|\check{n}|^2} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n_1^2}{a_1^2} & \frac{n_1 n_2}{a_1 a_2} & \frac{n_1 n_3}{a_1 a_3} & 0 \\ \frac{n_2 n_1}{a_2 a_1} & \frac{n_2^2}{a_2^2} & \frac{n_2 n_3}{a_2 a_3} & 0 \\ \frac{n_3 n_1}{a_3 a_1} & \frac{n_3 n_2}{a_3 a_2} & \frac{n_2^3}{a_2^3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $|\check{n}|^2 = \sum_j \frac{n_j^2}{a_j^2}$ and $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity matrix on $\mathbb{C}^4$. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ was defined in (1.1). The solution to the linear equation is indeed $V_{\mathrm{L}}(\tau) = e^{-\tau \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}} V_0$. We denote the propagator operator $e^{-\tau \mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}}$ as $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$. One can compute the matrix $\mathbb{P}_n\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathbb{P}_n\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n_1 n_2}{|n|^2} & -1+\frac{n_1^2}{n^2} & 0 & -\frac{n_1 n_3}{F|n|^2} \\ 1-\frac{n_2^2}{|n|^2} & \frac{n_1 n_2}{|n|^2} & 0 & -\frac{n_2 n_3}{F|n|^2} \\ -\frac{n_2 n_3}{|n|^2} & \frac{n_1 n_3}{|n|^2} & 0 & \frac{1}{F}\left(1-\frac{n_3^2}{|n|^2}\right) \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{F} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.3}$$

whose eigenvalues are

$$\omega^0(n) = 0, \qquad i\,\omega^{\pm}(n) = \pm\, i \frac{1}{F} \frac{\sqrt{|\check{n}_h|^2 + F^2 \check{n}_3^2}}{|\check{n}|}, \tag{4.4}$$

where the eigenvalue ω^0 has multiplicity 2, and we can write $\omega^{\pm} = \pm\omega$. The associated normalized eigenvectors are

$$e^0(n) = \frac{1}{|\check{n}|_F} \begin{pmatrix} -\check{n}_2 \\ \check{n}_1 \\ 0 \\ -F\check{n}_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e^{\pm}(n) = \frac{1}{\left(1+F^2|\omega(n)|^2\right)|\check{n}_h|^2\,|\check{n}|^2} \begin{pmatrix} -F\check{n}_3\left(\check{n}_2 \mp i\check{n}_1\omega(n)\right) \\ F\check{n}_3\left(\check{n}_1 \pm i\check{n}_2\omega(n)\right) \\ \mp iF\omega(n)\,|\check{n}_h|^2 \\ |\check{n}_h|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $|\check{n}|_F = \sqrt{\check{n}_1^2 + \check{n}_2^2 + F^2\check{n}_3^2}$, if $|n_h|, n_3 \neq 0$, otherwise, respectively

$$e^{\pm}(0,n_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e^{\pm}(n_h,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \pm i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.6}$$

The eigenvalue ω^0 has algebraic multiplicity 2, but there is only one eigenvector related to it, namely e^0. Indeed the matrix $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ has a nontrivial 2×2 Jordan block structure associated to the eigenvalue 0, hence the fourth is a generalized eigenvector $\tilde{e}^0$. This though is not divergence-free, hence it shall play no role in the evolution of the system (PE_ε), for this reason it is omitted. For a more detailed discussion on the spectral properties of the linear system we refer the reader to the papers [21] and [22].
Once we have introduced the eigenvectors in (4.5) we can consider a generic divergence-free vector field V as direct sum of the elements belonging to $\mathbb{C}e^0$ and $\mathbb{C}e^- \oplus \mathbb{C}e^+$. We shall call the projection of V onto $\mathbb{C}e^0$ the quasi-geostrophic part, while the projection onto $\mathbb{C}e^- \oplus \mathbb{C}e^+$ the oscillating part. The projection can be explicitly defined as follows

$$V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\hat{V}_n \middle| e^0(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} e^0(n)\right), \qquad V_{\mathrm{osc}} = \sum_{i=\pm} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\hat{V}_n \middle| e^i(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} e^i(n)\right). \tag{4.7}$$

The element V_{osc} is called oscillating because is the only part of the initial vector field V_0 which is affected in the evolution of the system (4.1), V_{QG} stays still being in the kernel of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$.
We would like to point out the following relevant fact, the non-oscillating eigenspace $\mathbb{C}e^0$ is orthogonal to the oscillating eigenspace $\mathbb{C}e^- \oplus \mathbb{C}e^+$, whence in particular it is always true that $V_{\mathrm{QG}} \perp V_{\mathrm{osc}}$.

In the following we shall denote as $e^a(n)$ the eigenvector of $\mathbb{P}_n\mathcal{A}$ associated with the eigenvalue $i\,\omega^a$, i.e. $\mathbb{P}_n\mathcal{A}\left(e^{in\cdot x}e^a(n)\right) = \exp\left\{in\cdot x + i\,\tau\omega^a(n)\right\}e^a(n)$. Let us define $U^\varepsilon = \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}V^\varepsilon$, we want to reformulate (PE_ε) in terms of the new unknown U^ε. A straightforward computation shows that the vector field U^ε satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U^\varepsilon + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(U^\varepsilon, U^\varepsilon) - \mathbb{D}^\varepsilon U^\varepsilon = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u^\varepsilon = 0 \\ U^\varepsilon\big|_{t=0} = V_0 \end{cases} \tag{FS_ε}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(U^\varepsilon,U^\varepsilon\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U^\varepsilon\cdot\nabla\right)\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U^\varepsilon\right] \tag{4.8}$$

$$\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon U^\varepsilon=\mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbf{D}\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U^\varepsilon. \tag{4.9}$$

We shall call the system (FS_ε) the filtered system.

Before using the above results to find the limit of (FS_ε) we introduce the "potential vorticity"

$$\Omega^\varepsilon=-\partial_2U^{1,\varepsilon}+\partial_1U^{2,\varepsilon}-F\partial_3U^{4,\varepsilon}. \tag{4.10}$$

The potential vorticity has been introduced by J.-Y. Chemin in [12] and it is now a well-known tool in the study of primitive equation (see [10], [11], [23], [28]). The diagonalization explained in (4.7) can as well be obtained by writing $U^\varepsilon=U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{QG}}+U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}}$, with

$$U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{QG}}=\begin{pmatrix}-\partial_2\Delta_F^{-1}\Omega^\varepsilon, & \partial_1\Delta_F^{-1}\Omega^\varepsilon, & 0, & -\partial_3F\Delta_F^{-1}\Omega^\varepsilon\end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.11}$$

where Δ_F^{-1} denotes the Fourier multiplier

$$-\Delta_F^{-1}u=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\check{n}_1^2+\check{n}_2^2+F^2\check{n}_3^2}\hat{u}_n\right)_n\right).$$

We remark the fact that since $U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{QG}}$ belongs to the kernel of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ we obtain indeed that $U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{QG}}=V^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{QG}}$.

One of the major problem is to understand exactly which is the limit for $\varepsilon\to0$ of the forms $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon,\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon$ and, if possible, how to give a closed formulation for it. To do so we use the explicit formulation of $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon,\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon$ given in equation (4.8) and (4.9). Since every divergence-free vector field U can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{F}U(n)=\sum_{a\in\{-,0,+\}}U^a(n)=\sum_{a\in\{-,0,+\}}\left(\mathcal{F}U(n)\middle|e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^a(n),$$

and after some computations we obtain that

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(U,V)\right)(n)=\sum_{a,b,c\in\{-,0,+\}}e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\left(\omega^a(k)+\omega^b(n-k)-\omega^c(n)\right)}\left(\sum_{j=1,2,3}\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^{a,j}(k)V^b(n-k)\middle|e^c(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^c(n). \tag{4.12}$$

In the following we will write $\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}=\omega^a(k)+\omega^b(n-k)-\omega^c(n)$ for the sake of conciseness, as well as $\omega^{a,b}_n=\omega^a(n)+\omega^b(n)$. With $U^{a,j}$ we denote the j-th component of the vector U^a.
Similar calculations give us that

$$\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon U=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{a,b\in\{-,0,+\}}e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b}_n}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle|e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^a(n)\right), \tag{4.13}$$

where $\mathbf{D}(n)$ is the Fourier symbol associated to the second-order differential operator $\mathbf{D}$, see (1.1).
Letting $\varepsilon\to0$ we only have to use the non stationary phase theorem (see, for instance [2], [5], [39]) to obtain that

$$\mathcal{Q}(U,V)=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{P}_n\sum_{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}=0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^3\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^{a,j}(k)V^b(n-k)\middle|e^c(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^c(n)\right), \tag{4.14}$$

$$\mathbb{D}U=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n=0}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle|e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^a(n)\right). \tag{4.15}$$

Here we implicitly define as $\mathbf{D}(n)$ the Fourier symbol assciated to the matrix $\mathbf{D}$ deifned in (1.1).
We stress out the fact that the converges to (4.14) and (4.15) holds true only in the case in which the functions u^a and V^b are smooth. This shall be the case in the present work since we will use functions which have compact support in the frequency space.

4.2 The limit.

Introducing the filtered system (FS_ε) allows us to deal with a system of equations which has a closer form to the classical Navier-Stokes system. In particular we can not have any uniform bound, in ε, for the norm $\|\partial_t V^\varepsilon\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, but this is possible for the system (FS_ε). We recall that we denoted $|n|_F = \sqrt{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + F^2 n_3^2}$.

Those limits are obtained studying the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{A}$ in the Fourier space. In the previous subsection we have computed these eigenvalues , which will enable us not only to find $\mathcal{Q}(U,U)$ and $\mathbb{D}U$ but also will give us a mean to diagonalize the limit system.
We underline the fact that the following calculations are an adaptation of the ones present in the work [23] to the case of anisotropic viscosity. For this reason many calculations shall not be explained in detail, or we shall directly refer to the work [23] and references therein.

P. Embid and A. Majda proved in [21] that:

Lemma 4.1. $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{Q}(U^\varepsilon,U^\varepsilon)\left||n|_F\, e^0(n)\right.\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}\right) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\to 0} v_{\mathrm{QG}}\cdot\nabla\Omega$. *The limit holds in the sense of distributions.*

We want to understand which are the projections of $\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon U$ on the oscillatory and non oscillatory space as $\varepsilon\to 0$. This is easily done if we consider the formulation of the limit form as it is given in (4.15). Let us consider the projection of the limit linear form onto the potential space defined by $\Omega = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\mathcal{F}U\left||n|_F\, e^0\right.\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}\right)$,

$$\left(\mathcal{F}\mathbb{D}U\left||n|_F\, e^0\right.\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} = \sum_{\omega_n^{a,b}=0}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle|\, e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}\left(e^a(n)\left||n|_F\, e^0\right.\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}.$$

As it has been pointed out above $e^0\perp e^\pm$, hence $a=0$. On the other hand if we consider the limit set $\omega_n^{a,b}=0$ with the fact that $a=0$ we easily obtain that $\omega^b(n)\equiv 0$, whence $b=0$ as well, hence we obtained that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(-\mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbf{D}\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U^\varepsilon\middle|\,\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(|n_F|\,e^0\right)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon\to 0} a_{\mathrm{QG}}(D_h)\,\Omega\\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\nu\left(n_1^2+n_2^2\right)+\nu' F^2 n_3^2}{n_1^2+n_2^2+F^2n_3^2}\left(n_1^2+n_2^2\right)\widehat{\Omega}_n\right).\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, defining $U^a = (\mathcal{F}U|\,e^a)\,e^a$

$$-\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbf{D}\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}} = a_{\mathrm{osc}}(D_h)\,U^\varepsilon_{\mathrm{osc}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\substack{\omega_n^{a,b}=0\\ a,b=\pm}}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle|\,e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^a(n)\right).$$

We want now to understand which form assumes the limit as $\varepsilon\to 0$ of the projection of $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(U^\varepsilon,U^\varepsilon)$ onto the oscillatory subspace $\mathbb{C}e^-\oplus\mathbb{C}e^+$. In particular the following result hold true:

Lemma 4.2. *For every three-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ we have*

$$\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(U^\varepsilon,U^\varepsilon\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}},U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}},V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}},U_{\mathrm{osc}})\right)_{\mathrm{osc}}.$$

The above lemma hence states that in the limit $\varepsilon\to 0$ there is no bilinear interaction of kernel elements in the equation describing the evolution of U_{osc}.

Whence the filtered system (FS_ε) can be decoupled, as $\varepsilon\to 0$ in the following way,

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t\Omega + v^h_{\mathrm{QG}}\cdot\nabla_h\Omega + a_{\mathrm{QG}}(D_h)\,\Omega &= 0\\ \mathrm{div}_h\, v^h_{\mathrm{QG}} = \mathrm{div}\, v_{\mathrm{QG}} = 0\\ \Omega\Big|_{t=0} = \Omega_0\end{cases} \tag{FS$_\Omega$}$$

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t U_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}},U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}},V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}},U_{\mathrm{osc}})\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + a_{\mathrm{osc}}(D_h)\,U_{\mathrm{osc}} &= 0\\ \mathrm{div}\, u_{\mathrm{osc}} = 0\\ U_{\mathrm{osc}}\Big|_{t=0} = U_{\mathrm{osc},0} = (V_0)_{\mathrm{osc}}.\end{cases} \tag{FS$_{\mathrm{osc}}$}$$

Where (FS_Ω) represents the projection of the limit system onto the non-oscillatory potential subspace defined by Ω, and $(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}})$ represents the projection onto $\mathbb{C}e^- \oplus \mathbb{C}e^+$.

It is easy to deduce from (FS_Ω) that if $V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega$ then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t V_{\mathrm{QG}} + a_{\mathrm{QG}}(D_h) V_{\mathrm{QG}} = -\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -\partial_3 F \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \cdot \nabla_h \Omega\right), \\ \mathrm{div}_h\, v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h = \mathrm{div}\, v_{\mathrm{QG}} = 0 \\ V_{\mathrm{QG}}\Big|_{t=0} = V_{\mathrm{QG},0} = \left(\nabla_h^\perp, 0, -F\partial_3\right)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega_0. \end{cases} \tag{$\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}}$}$$

We remark that in the equation $(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}})$ the term $\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}})$ represents a bilinear interaction between highly oscillating modes, i.e. we are taking into account some potentially resonant effect such as in [34].

The following lemma gives a connection in terms of regularity between the solutions of (FS_Ω) and $(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}})$, and will result to be extremely useful in the energy estimates for the global well posedness of the limit system.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\Lambda_h^s \Lambda_v^{s'} \Omega \in L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$, with $V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega$. Let $\sigma \in [0,1]$, then there exists a uniformly finite (in σ) constant C_σ depending only on σ such that*

$$\left\| \Lambda_h^{s+\sigma} \Lambda_v^{s'+(1-\sigma)} v_{\mathrm{QG}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant C_\sigma \left\| \Lambda_h^s \Lambda_v^{s'} \Omega \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

4.2.1 Propagation of the horizontal average.

In the following lemmas we identify some conditions which suffice to guarantee that the horizontal average of $U = U_{\mathrm{QG}} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}$ solution of the limit system $(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}})$-$(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}})$ is preserved for each time $t > 0$. This turns out to be very important since we are dealing with periodic functions, hence, generally we cannot use inequalities such as the one stated in (3.7) or Corollary 3.5 unless the horizontal mean of the function considered is zero. It is in this setting that the condition $(\mathcal{P})$ shall play a fundamental role.

Lemma 4.4. *Let V_{QG} the solution of $(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}})$, if we define*

$$\underline{V_{\mathrm{QG}}}(t, x_3) = \frac{1}{\left|\mathbb{T}_h^2\right|} \int_{\mathbb{T}_h^2} V_{\mathrm{QG}}\left(t, y_h, x_3\right) \mathrm{d}y_h,$$

then

$$\partial_t \underline{V_{\mathrm{QG}}}(t, x_3) = 0.$$

Proof. It suffices to remark that $(-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0, -F\partial_3)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \cdot \nabla_h \Omega\right) = (-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0, -F\partial_3)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1} \mathrm{div}_h\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \Omega\right)$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that the limit system (FS_Ω)–$(\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}})$ is well posed. Then, setting $U = V_{\mathrm{QG}} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}$*

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{T}_h^2} U(t, x_h, x_3) \mathrm{d}x_h = 0,$$

for almost every torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}_h^2} \left(\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}})\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} \mathrm{d}x_h,$$

being the deduction for the other ones appearing a matter of straightforward computations. In this term there are present interactions between perturbations which do not live in the kernel of the penalized operator. In

this context the resonance set defined in Definition 2.6 shall play a fundamental role. Let us consider the explicit expression of the above term

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2_h} \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} \mathrm{d}x_h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\mathcal{K}^\star_{(0,\check{n}_3)}} \left(\sum_{j=1,2,3} U^{a,j}(k)\, m_j U^b(m) \middle| e^c(0,n_3)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} e^c(0,n_3)\right).$$

We prove that the above quantity is zero by proving that $\mathcal{K}^\star_{(0,\check{n}_3)} = \emptyset$. Since $\check{n}_h = 0$ and we have the convolution constraint $\check{k} + \check{m} = \check{n}$ we immediately understand $\check{k}_h + \check{m}_h = 0$, i.e. $\left|\check{k}_h\right| = |\check{m}_h| = \lambda$. Writing down the resonant equation we obtain the following equality

$$\frac{\left(F^2\check{k}_3^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\lambda^2 + \check{k}_3^2\right)^{1/2}} \pm \frac{\left(F^2\check{m}_3^2 + \lambda^2\right)^{1/2}}{\left(\lambda^2 + \check{m}_3^2\right)^{1/2}} = \pm 1.$$

Taking square (twice) and after some algebraic manipulation we obtain that the above equation is equivalent to

$$\left(\lambda^4 + F^2\lambda^2\check{m}_3^2 + \check{k}_3^2\left(-\left(-2+F^2\right)\lambda^2 + \check{m}_3^2\right)\right)^2 = 4\left(\lambda^2 + \check{k}_3^2\right)^2\left(\lambda^2 + \check{m}_3^2\right)\left(\lambda^2 + F^2\check{m}_3^2\right).$$

We multiply the above equation for a_3^8, obtaining the new equality in the unknown $\mu^2 = \lambda^2 a_3^2$

$$\left(\mu^4 + F^2\mu^2 m_3^2 + k_3^2\left(-\left(-2+F^2\right)\mu^2 + m_3^2\right)\right)^2 = 4\left(\mu^2 + k_3^2\right)^2\left(\mu^2 + m_3^2\right)\left(\mu^2 + F^2 m_3^2\right), \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\mu^2 = \lambda^2 a_3^2 = \left(\frac{a_3}{a_1}\right)^2 k_1^2 + \left(\frac{a_3}{a_2}\right)^2 k_2^2 = \mu_1 k_1^2 + \mu_2 k_2^2.$$

Since the torus satisfies the Condition $(\mathcal{P})$ we know that $F = r_1/r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, hence we can transform the expression in (4.16) into an equation of the form $P(\mu) = 0$, with $P \in \mathbb{Z}[\mu]$. Whence by the definition of Condition $(\mathcal{P})$ given in Definition 2.8 we argue that

- If $\mu_1 = a_3^2/a_1^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ the (4.16) can be rewritten as $\tilde{P}(\mu_2) = 0$ where $\deg\tilde{P} = 4$, hence by hypothesis in Definition 2.8 we have that μ_2 is not algebraic of degree smaller or equal than four, this implies that the equation $\tilde{P}(\mu_2) = 0$ has no solution, concluding.
- If $\mu_2 = a_3^2/a_2^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ the procedure is the same as above, but symmetric (see Definition 2.8).

□

We have hence identified some conditions under such we can say that the horizontal mean of the limit function $U = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}V^\varepsilon$ is preserved. Hence if we consider initial data with zero horizontal average we can use freely (3.7) and moreover the following Poincaré inequality $\|U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant C\|\nabla_h U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, holds.

4.3 Existence of weak solutions for the limit system (S).

The previous section allows us to understand how the system can be decoupled in its natural way, i.e. via diagonalization. In the present section we prove Theorem 2.10, i.e. we prove that (S) admits distributional solutions.

Remark 4.6. As the reader may have noted Theorem 2.10 states the existence of *à la Leray*-type solutions. This can seem to be unexpected since, generally, Leray solutions are constructed thanks to compactness methods. In system (S) we cannot apply any compactness method since we do not have any second-order vertical derivative ∂_3^2 and L^2 is not compactly embedded in $H^{1,0}$. Nonetheless the bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ has better product rules than the standard bilinear form in the Navier-Stokes equations, this will allow us to make sense (distributionally) of the term $\mathcal{Q}(U,U)$. Moreover we require the initial potential vorticity Ω_0 to be $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$, which, roughly speaking, is "almost as" requiring the initial velocity field to be H^1.

Proof of Theorem 2.10 Before starting the proof we point out the following fact, Navier-Stokes equations preserve the global average of the unknown function. This happens as well for the system (PE_ε), whence we can consider data with zero horizontal average. Thanks to this property homogeneous and non-homogeneous Sobolev spaces are equivalent, we shall use this constantly in the present proof. In particular they will be always non-homogeneous. This fact concerns *only* the isotropic spaces $H^s\left(\mathbb{R}^3\right)$.
The proof is standard application of Galerkin's approximation. We define the truncation operator

$$J_n u = \sum_{\{k\in\mathbb{Z}^3 \,|\, |k|\leqslant n\}} \hat{u}_n e^{i\check{k}\cdot x},$$

and consequently the approximated system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_n + J_n \mathcal{Q}\left(U_n, U_n\right) + \mathbb{D} U_n = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u_n = 0 \\ U_n\big|_{t=0} = J_n U_0, \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

in the unknown U_n. We recall that for a fixed n, J_n maps continuously any H^k space to any H^{k+h} space for $h \geqslant 0$ thanks to Bernstein inequality. Thus (4.17) is a differential equation in the space

$$L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right) = \left\{ u \in L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right) \middle|\, \hat{u}_k = 0 \text{ if } |k| > n \right\}.$$

Since the support of the Fourier transform of $U_n \in L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ is included in the ball of center 0 and radius n and the support of $\mathcal{F}\left(U_n \otimes U_n\right)$ is included in $B_{2n}(0)$ we obtain easily that $J_n\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{C}\left(L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right) \times L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right); L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$. Hence Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives the existence of a unique solution to (4.17) on a maximal interval of time $[0, T_n)$ taking values in $L^2_n\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$.
Moreover since

$$\mathcal{Q}(A,B) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)A\cdot\nabla\right)\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)B\right],$$

it is clear that $\left(J_n\mathcal{Q}\left(U_n,U_n\right)|\,U_n\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} = \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_n,U_n\right)|\,U_n\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} = 0$ since $\operatorname{div} u_n = 0$. Hence by a standard energy estimate on the parabolic-hyperbolic equation (4.17) we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_n(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h U_n(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|U_0\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

from which for all $t \in [0, T_n)$ we have $\left\|U_n(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant \left\|J_n U_0\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant \left\|U_0\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$. We deduce that $T_n = \infty$ and for all $t > 0$ $U_n(t)$ satisfies

$$\left\|U_n(t)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + 2c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h U_n(s)\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\mathrm{d}s \leqslant \left\|U_0\right\|^2_2.$$

Considered the relation $\left\|U_n\right\|_{L^2((0,t);L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leqslant \sqrt{t}\left\|U_n\right\|_{L^\infty((0,t);L^2(\mathbb{T}^3))} \leqslant \sqrt{t}\left\|U_0\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$ we can say that the sequence U_n is bounded in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right) \cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{1,0}\right)$. By the structure of (4.17) we obtain easily that $\partial_t U_n$ is bounded in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-N}\right)$ for N sufficiently big, hence $(U_n)_n$ is a sequence of equicontinuous functions in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-N}\right)$. Moreover since $H^{\sigma_1}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ is compactly embedded in $H^{\sigma_2}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ for $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ we have $U_n(t) \to U(t)$ in $H^{-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. At this point since $(U_n(t))_n$ equicontinuous in time taking values in $H^{-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ and bounded in $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ we can infer via Ascoli-Arzelà theorem obtaining that $U_n \to U$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$.
At this point we can use the diagonalization introduced in Subsection 4.2, in detail, we define

$$\Omega_n = -\partial_2 U^1_n + \partial_1 U^2_n - F\partial_3 U^4_n, \tag{4.18}$$

$$V_{\mathrm{QG},n} = U_{\mathrm{QG},n} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega_n, \tag{4.19}$$

$$U_{\mathrm{osc},n} = U_n - U_{\mathrm{QG},n}.$$

Thanks to the diagonalization procedure introduced in Section 4 we can argue that $\Omega_n, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}$ satisfy the following equations [1]

$$\partial_t \Omega_n + J_n\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG},n}\cdot\nabla_h\Omega_n\right) + a_{\mathrm{QG}}\left(D_h\right)\Omega_n = 0,$$

$$\partial_t U_{\mathrm{osc},n} + \left(J_n\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(J_n\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, V_{\mathrm{QG},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(J_n\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + a_{\mathrm{osc}}\left(D_h\right)U_{\mathrm{osc},n} = 0,$$

[1] To prove this assertion we have to consider the projection on the quasi-geostrophic subspace of the term $J_n\mathcal{Q}(u_n, U_n)$. This can be done exactly as P. Embid and A. Majda did in [21] in order to prove Lemma 4.1.

on such we can apply the same procedure as above to obtain that $\Omega_n \to \Omega$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$, and defining $V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega$ for Ω the limit of the sequence $(\Omega_n)_n$, and since $\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H^\alpha, H^{\alpha+1}\right)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain as well that

$$V_{\mathrm{QG},n} \to V_{\mathrm{QG}} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{1-\varepsilon}\right),$$

and $V_{\mathrm{QG},n}$ bounded in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^1\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, \dot{H}^{1+\sigma,1-\sigma}\right)$ for each $0 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 1$ as pointed out in Lemma 4.3. Combining the definitions (4.18) and (4.19) we can hence define $V_{\mathrm{QG},n}$ as

$$V_{\mathrm{QG},n} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp, & 0, -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot U_n = \Pi_{\mathrm{QG}} U_n,$$

with Π_{QG} Fourier multiplier of order zero, hence $\Pi_{\mathrm{QG}} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H^\alpha\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies in particular that, defining $U_{\mathrm{osc}} = U - V_{\mathrm{QG}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| U_{\mathrm{osc},n} - U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right\|_{H^{-\varepsilon}} &= \left\| \left(U_n - V_{\mathrm{QG},n}\right) - \left(U - V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\mathbb{1} - \Pi_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)\left(U_n - U\right) \right\|_{H^{-\varepsilon}} \\ &\leqslant C \left\| U_n - U \right\|_{H^{-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular that $U_{\mathrm{osc},n} \to U_{\mathrm{osc}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$. The same idea can be applied to show that $U_{\mathrm{osc},n}$ is bounded in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$ and $\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc},n}$ is bounded in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$.

At this point we can project $\mathcal{Q}(U_n, U_n)$ on the spaces $\mathbb{C}e^0, \mathbb{C}e^- \oplus \mathbb{C}e^+$ (see (4.5)) obtaining thanks to the procedure explained in Subsection 4.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Q}(U_n, U_n) = \mathcal{Q}(U_n, U_n)_{\mathrm{QG}} + \mathcal{Q}(U_n, U_n)_{\mathrm{osc}} \\ &= (-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0, -F\partial_3)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG},n} \cdot \nabla_h \Omega_n\right) + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, V_{\mathrm{QG},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} + \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is matter of standard energy bounds with classical product rules in Sobolev spaces to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0, -F\partial_3)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG},n} \cdot \nabla_h \Omega_n\right) &\to (-\partial_2, \partial_1, 0, -F\partial_3)^\intercal \Delta_F^{-1}\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG}} \cdot \nabla_h \Omega\right), \\ \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} &\to \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}}, \\ \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, V_{\mathrm{QG},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} &\to \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}}, \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of distributions as $n \to \infty$. The limit of the product of terms of the form $U_{\mathrm{osc},n}$ is, in general, not well defined. Indeed system (S) lacks of vertical dissipation, hence the best we know is that $U_{\mathrm{osc},n} \to U_{\mathrm{osc}}$ in $L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-\varepsilon})$, but generally a product between $H^{-\varepsilon}$ elements is not well-defined. Is in this context in fact that we shall use the improved regularity in the product which is characteristic of the bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$. We claim that

$$\left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},n}, U_{\mathrm{osc},n}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}} \xrightarrow[n\to\infty]{\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3\right)} \left(\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right)_{\mathrm{osc}}, \tag{4.20}$$

The proof of (4.20) is postponed. Whence we finally proved that $\mathcal{Q}(U_n, U_n) \to \mathcal{Q}(U, U)$ in $\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^3\right)$, concluding. □

4.3.1 Proof of (4.20).

As we already stated M. Paicu in [34] proved a similar result. We shall prove (4.20) using different techniques. Defining $\mathcal{Q}(A, B) = \operatorname{div} \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(A, B)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(A, B) &= \sum_{\mathcal{K}} \left(\hat{A}^a(k)\, \hat{B}^b(m) \middle| e^c(n) \right)_{\mathbb{C}^4} e^c(n), \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{A}^a(k)\, \hat{B}^{b,c}(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{A}^a(k) = \left(\hat{A}(k) \middle| e^a(k)\right) e^a(k)$, $\hat{B}^{b,c}(m,n) = \left(\hat{B}^b(m) \middle| e^c(n)\right) e^c(n)$. It suffice in fact to prove that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right) \to 0,$$

in $\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ as $j\to\infty$ to conclude. To do so we consider a $\phi\in\mathcal{D}$ and, by Plancherel theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{T}^3}&\phi(t,x)\,\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}},U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)(t,x)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\sum_{\mathcal{K}_n^\star}\hat{\phi}(t,n)\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^a(t,k)\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^{b,c}(t,m,n)\,\mathrm{d}t\\&=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\sum_{n,k_h,m_h}\hat{\phi}_n(t)\sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}}\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^a(t,k)\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^{b,c}(t,m_h,n_3-k_3,n)\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

We make a couple of remarks in order to simplify the notation. Since we considered the eigenvectors as normalized all along the paper the following relations are easy to deduce

$$\left|\hat{U}^{b,c}(m,n)\right|\lesssim\left|\hat{U}^b(m)\right|\lesssim\left|\hat{U}(m)\right|.$$

Hence from now on the terms $\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^a(t,k)$ and $\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)^{b,c}(t,m_h,n_3-k_3,n)$ shall be substituted respectively to $\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_k$ and $\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)}$. Here we chose to make implicit the dependence on the variable t. We want to stress out the fact that this choice is made only to simplify the notation. Indeed we have that

$$\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_k\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)}=k^{-\varepsilon/2}\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}-U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_k k^{\varepsilon/2}\left(\widehat{U_{\mathrm{osc},j}+U_{\mathrm{osc}}}\right)_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)}.\tag{4.22}$$

The set $\{k_3:(n,k)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}$ is indeed finite and, in particular, it is composed by the k_3 which satisfy the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(F^2(k_3)^2+(k_h)^2\right)^{1/2}\left((m_h)^2+(n_3-k_3)^2\right)^{1/2}\\&\qquad=\left((k_h)^2+(k_3)^2\right)^{1/2}\left((m_h)^2+(n_3-k_3)^2\right)^{1/2}-\left((k_h)^2+(k_3)^2\right)^{1/2}\left(F^2(n_3-k_3)^2+(m_h)^2\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Expanding the above equation and collecting term by term in the powers of k_3 give us the following polynomial equation

$$\wp(k_3)=\sum_{i=0}^{8}A_i(k_h,m_h,n)\,k_3^i=0,$$

where the A_i take the following form

$$\begin{aligned}A_8&=\left(1-4F^2\right)\\A_7&=4(-1+4F^2)n_3\\A_6&=-6\left(F^2k_h^2+F^2m_h^2+\left(-1+4F^2\right)n_3^2\right)\\A_5&=4n_3\left(6F^2k_h^2+3F^2m_h^2+\left(-1+4F^2\right)n_3^2\right)\\A_4&=-\left(F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)k_h^4+F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)m_h^4-6F^2m_h^2n_3^2+\left(1-4F^2\right)n_3^4-2k_h^2\left(\left(3+2F^2+F^4\right)m_h^2+18F^2n_3^2\right)\right)\\A_3&=4k_h^2n_3\left(-F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)k_h^2+\left(3+2F^2+F^4\right)m_h^2+6F^2n_3^2\right)\\A_2&=-2k_h^2\left(\left(2+F^2\right)m_h^4+\left(3+2F^2+F^4\right)m_h^2n_3^2+3F^2n_3^4+k_h^2\left(\left(2+F^2\right)m_h^2-3F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)n_3^2\right)\right)\\A_1&=4k_h^4n_3\left(\left(2+F^2\right)m_h^2-F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)n_3^2\right)\\A_0&=-k_h^4\left(3m_h^4+2\left(2+F^2\right)m_h^2n_3^2-F^2\left(-4+F^2\right)n_3^4\right).\end{aligned}$$

Although we have been giving the explicit expression of the A_i's we outline the fact that the explicit expression by itself is irrelevant, the only thing that matters is that the A_i's are polynomials in the variables k_h,m_h,n. We can hence apply the following result which bounds the modulus of a root of a complex root of a polynomial in terms of its coefficients, the following proposition is known as Fujiwara near-optimal bound.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $P(z)=\sum_{k=0}^n a_nz^k$ a polynomial $P\in\mathbb{C}[z]$, let ζ be one of the n complex roots of P, then*

$$|\zeta|\leqslant 2\max\left\{\left|\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n}\right|,\left|\frac{a_{n-2}}{a_n}\right|^{1/2},\dots,\left|\frac{a_1}{a_n}\right|^{1/(n-1)},\left|\frac{a_0}{a_n}\right|^{1/n}\right\}.$$

We shall omit to prove Proposition 4.7 and refer the reader to the work [32] instead.
Proposition 4.7 applied on $\wp(k_3)$ tells us that

$$|k_3| \lesssim |n|^{\alpha_1} |m_h|^{\alpha_2} |k_h|^{\alpha_3},$$

where k_3 is any root of $\wp$, hence

$$|k|^{\varepsilon/2} \lesssim |k_h|^{\varepsilon/2} + \left(|n|^{\alpha_1} |m_h|^{\alpha_2} |k_h|^{\alpha_3}\right)^{\varepsilon/2}.$$

by concavity on the function $h_\varepsilon(x) = x^{\varepsilon/2}$, with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < N$ for some large and finite N. Coming back to (4.21) and (4.22) this means that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \phi(x) \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \right| \\
&\quad \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n,k_h,m_h} \left|\hat{\phi}_n\right| \sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}} |k_h|^{\varepsilon/2} |k|^{-\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)} \right| \mathrm{d}t + \\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n,k_h,m_h} \left|\hat{\phi}_n\right| \sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}} |k|^{-\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \left(|n|^{\alpha_1} |m_h|^{\alpha_2} |k_h|^{\alpha_3}\right)^{\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)} \right| \mathrm{d}t \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n,k_h,m_h} \left|\hat{\phi}_n\right| \sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}} |k_h|^{\varepsilon/2} |k|^{-\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)} \right| \mathrm{d}t + \\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n,k_h,m_h} |n|^{\frac{\alpha_1\varepsilon}{2}} \left|\hat{\phi}_n\right| \sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}} |k_h|^{\frac{\alpha_3\varepsilon}{2}} |k|^{-\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| |m_h|^{\frac{\alpha_2\varepsilon}{2}} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)} \right| \mathrm{d}t \\
&\qquad\qquad = I_{1,j} + I_{2,j}.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove that $I_{2,j} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. In order to prove that $I_{1,j} \to 0$ the procedure is very similar (and actually simpler) to the one we are going to perform now, for this reason is omitted. We start remarking that

$$|k_h|^{\frac{\alpha_3\varepsilon}{2}} |k|^{-\varepsilon/2} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| = \left(|k|^{-\varepsilon} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \right)^{1/2} \left(|k_h|^{\alpha_3\varepsilon} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \right)^{1/2},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2,j} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \sum_{n,k_h,m_h} |n|^{\frac{\alpha_1\varepsilon}{2}} \left|\hat{\phi}_n\right| \sum_{\{k_3:(k,(m_h,n_3-k_3),n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\}} &\left(|k|^{-\varepsilon} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \right)^{1/2} \\
&\left(|k_h|^{\alpha_3\varepsilon} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_k \right| \right)^{1/2} |m_h|^{\frac{\alpha_2\varepsilon}{2}} \left| \widehat{\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)}_{(m_h,n_3-k_3)} \right| \mathrm{d}t. \quad (4.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma A.4 we obtain

$$I_{2,j} \lesssim \left\|\phi\right\|_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha_1\varepsilon}{2}}\right)} \left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha_2\varepsilon}{2},0}\right)} \left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^{1/2}_{L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{\alpha_3\varepsilon,0})} \left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{-\varepsilon})}.$$

Both $U_{\mathrm{osc},j}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}$ belong to $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2\right)$ and $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{H}^{1,0}\right)$, and hence to $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2\right)$ and by interpolation to $L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{H}^{\sigma,0}\right)$ for $\sigma \in (0,1)$. This means that is ε is sufficiently small the quantities $\left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} + U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|_{L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha_2\varepsilon}{2},0}\right)}$, $\left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{\alpha_3\varepsilon,0})}$ are bounded, while since

$$\left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},j} - U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{-\varepsilon})} \xrightarrow{j\to\infty} 0,$$

we proved that $I_{2,j} \to 0$ distributionally. This implies hence that $\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc},j}, U_{\mathrm{osc},j}\right) \to \mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)$ in a distributional sense.

4.4 The quasi-geostrophic part.

Subsection 4.3 ensures us that there exists a solution U for the limit system (S) which is

$$U \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right) \qquad\qquad \nabla_h U \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right).$$

The scope of the present and following section though is to prove if, under suitable initial conditions, the equations (FS_Ω) and ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) propagate $H^{0,s}$ regularity.

Proposition 4.8. *Let Ω be a solution of* (FS_Ω). *Then if $\Omega_0 \in L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ $\Omega \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$, $\nabla_h \Omega \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$, and in particular for each $t>0$ the following bound holds true*

$$\|\Omega(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + 2c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h \Omega(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\|\Omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

This is a standard L^2 energy estimate on the parabolic equation (FS_Ω) which has been already proved in Theorem 2.10.

Proposition 4.9. *Let Ω be the solution of* (FS_Ω) *and let $\Omega_0 \in H^{0,s}$ for some $s>0$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$ and $\nabla_h \Omega \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$, and in particular the following estimates hold:*

$$\|\Omega(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h \Omega(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\|\Omega_0\|_{H^{0,s}} \exp\left\{\frac{2C}{c}\left(1+\|\Omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\Omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right\} \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. Applying the vertical truncation $\triangle^v_q$ on both sides of equation (FS_Ω), multiplying both sides for $\triangle^v_q\Omega$ and taking the scalar product in $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\|\triangle^v_q\Omega\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\left\|\triangle^v_q\nabla_h\Omega\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant \left|\left(\triangle^v_q\left(v^h_{\mathrm{QG}}\cdot\nabla_h\Omega\right)\middle|\triangle^v_q\Omega\right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right|.$$

By use of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (A.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}&\left\|\triangle^v_q\Omega\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\left\|\triangle^v_q\nabla_h\Omega\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\\ &\leqslant C\,2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\left[\|\Omega\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\Omega\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^{3/2}_{H^{0,s}} + \|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

We recall that in (4.25) $\left(b_q\right)_q$ is a $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ positive sequence which depends on Ω and such that $\sum_q b_q(t) \leqslant 1$. Multiplying equation (4.25) on both sides for 2^{2qs}, summing on $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and using the convexity inequalities $2ab \leqslant a^2+b^2$ and $ab \leqslant \frac{1}{4}a^4 + \frac{3}{4}b^{4/3}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \leqslant \frac{c}{2}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + C\left(\left(1+\|\Omega\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \tag{4.26}$$

whence, applying Gronwall inequality to (4.26) in $[0,t]$ we get the bound

$$\|\Omega(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h\Omega(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\|\Omega_0\|_{H^{0,s}}\exp\left\{2C\int_0^t\left(1+\|\Omega(s)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\nabla_h\Omega(s)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}s\right\}.$$

Hence, considering that Ω is bounded in $L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$ and $\nabla_h\Omega$ is bounded in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$ we deduce the estimate (4.24). □

Remark 4.10. In Proposition 4.9 we do not require the initial data to be of zero horizontal average in order to propagate $H^{0,s}$ norms. ♦

4.5 The oscillatory part.

We can now turn our attention on the oscillatory part U_{osc} solution of the equation ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$). Indeed the terms $\mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)$ present in ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) should not present a problem in the propagation of regularity, being linear in U_{osc}. The term $\mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}})$ though is a bilinear term of the form $\sqrt{-\Delta}(U_{\mathrm{osc}} \otimes U_{\mathrm{osc}})$. Fortunately as pointed out in Lemma A.4 the bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ has better product rules than the standard Navier-Stokes bilinear form, this will allow us to recover the global well posedness result for ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) as well.

Lemma 4.11. *Let U be the weak solution defined in Theorem 2.10, then $U_{\mathrm{osc}} = U - V_{\mathrm{QG}}$ satisfies the energy bound*

$$\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\|U_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

Proof. The proof stems from the fact that $U_{\mathrm{osc}} = \Pi_{\mathrm{osc}}U$ where $\Pi_{\mathrm{osc}} = 1 - \Pi_{\mathrm{QG}}$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order zero as it has been explained in the proof of Theorem 2.10. □

Proposition 4.12. *Let U_{osc} be the solution of* ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) *and* $\underline{V_{\mathrm{QG},0}}, \underline{U_{\mathrm{osc},0}} = 0$. *Let* $\mathbb{T}^3$ *satisfy the condition* ($(\mathcal{P})$) *and* $U_{\mathrm{osc},0}, \Omega_0 \in H^{0,s}$ *for* $s > 1/2$, *then* $U_{\mathrm{osc}} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$ *and* $\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}} \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$ *and the following bound holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\
&\leqslant C\left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},0}\right\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \exp\left\{\frac{2C}{c}\left[\|\Omega_0\|_{H^{0,s}} \exp\left\{\frac{2C}{c}\left(1+\|\Omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\Omega_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right\} + \left(1+\|U_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|U_0\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.9 apply the vertical truncation $\triangle^v_q$ on both sides of ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) and taking scalar product in $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\|\triangle^v_q U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\left\|\triangle^v_q \nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} &\leqslant \left|\left(\triangle^v_q \mathcal{Q}\left(V_{\mathrm{QG}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\middle|\triangle^v_q U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right| \\
&\quad + \left|\left(\triangle^v_q \mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, V_{\mathrm{QG}}\right)\middle|\triangle^v_q U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right| + \left|\left(\triangle^v_q \mathcal{Q}\left(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\middle|\triangle^v_q U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking moreover in account the estimates (A.8) and (A.9) the above inequality turns into

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\|\triangle^v_q U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + c\left\|\triangle^v_q \nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} &\leqslant C2^{-2qs} b_q(t)\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \\
&\quad + C2^{-2qs} b_q(t)\|\Omega\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{3/2}_{H^{0,s}} \\
&\quad + Cb_q(t)2^{-2qs}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \\
&\quad + Cb_q(t)2^{-2qs}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^{3/2}_{H^{0,s}}. \qquad (4.27)
\end{aligned}$$

We recall that $\left(b_q\right)_q$ is a $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ positive sequence which depends on Ω and U_{osc} and such that $\sum_q b_q(t) \leqslant 1$. Multiplying both sides of (4.27) for 2^{2qs}, summing over $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and using the inequalities $2ab \leqslant a^2 + b^2$ and $ab \leqslant \frac{1}{4}a^4 + \frac{3}{4}b^{4/3}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant 2C\left(\left(1+\|\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h\Omega\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + \left(1+\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \qquad (4.28)
\end{aligned}$$

applying Gronwall inequality to (4.28) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\
&\quad \leqslant C\left\|U_{\mathrm{osc},0}\right\|_{H^{0,s}} \exp\left\{2C\int_0^t \left(1+\|\Omega(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h\Omega(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + \left(1+\|U_{\mathrm{osc}}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\right)\|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}(\tau)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

concluding. □

4.6 Proof of Theorem 2.11

At this point it is very easy to prove Theorem 2.11 Let us consider a data $V_0 \in H^{0,s}, \Omega_0 \in H^{0,s}, s \geqslant 1$ and V_0 with zero horizontal average. Thanks to Proposition 4.9 we have that $\Omega \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s-1}\right) \cap \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$, $\nabla_h\Omega \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s-1}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$, which in particular implies, thanks to Lemma 4.3 that $\Lambda^s_v V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$, $\nabla_h\Lambda^s_v V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)\right)$. Since V_{QG} is defined as $V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \Pi_{\mathrm{QG}}U$ where Π_{QG} is a Fourier multiplier of order zero which maps continuously any $H^{s,s'}$ space to itself, this implies that $V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2\right)$, $\nabla_h V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2\right)$ since U is so thanks to Theorem 2.10, hence $V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right), \nabla_h V_{\mathrm{QG}} \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$. For the oscillating part it suffices to apply Proposition 4.12 and the proof is complete.

We outline how to prove that solutions to the limit system are $H^{0,s'}$-stable, for $s' \in [-1/2, s)$ globally with a

continuous dependence of the initial data. To do so consider the two solutions U_1, U_2 to the limit system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_1 + \mathcal{Q}(U_1, U_1) - \mathbb{D}U_1 = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u_1 = 0 \\ U_1\Big|_{t=0} = U_{1,0} \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U_2 + \mathcal{Q}(U_2, U_2) - \mathbb{D}U_2 = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u_2 = 0 \\ U_2\Big|_{t=0} = U_{2,0}. \end{cases} \tag{4.30}$$

Subtracting (4.30) from (4.29) and setting $U = U_1 - U_2$ we obtain the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U + \mathcal{Q}(U_1, U) + \mathcal{Q}(U, U_2) - \mathbb{D}U = 0 \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \\ U\Big|_{t=0} = U_0 = U_{1,0} - U_{2,0}. \end{cases} \tag{4.31}$$

We apply now a stability result proved by M. Paicu in [36], namely Proposition 5.3, to the system (4.31). This gives the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|U\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\quad\leqslant C\|U_0\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left\{\int_0^t \left(1+\|U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t \left(1+\|U_1(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h U_1(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \right. \\ &\qquad\left. + \int_0^t \left(1+\|U_2(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h U_2(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The argument of the exponential is indeed uniformly bounded thanks to the estimates on the limit system performed above, whence if $\|U_0\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}}$ is small the whole right hand side of the above equation if small. Since moreover

$$\|U\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\left(\|U_0\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right),$$

uniformly in t by interpolation we prove the assertion stated above. □

5 Convergence of the system as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

A primary tool in the study of the convergence of the filtered system (FS_ε) to the limit system ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) ($\mathrm{FS}_\Omega$) will be a careful study of the Navier-Stokes equation with only horizontal diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v\cdot\nabla v - \nu_h\Delta_h v + \nabla p = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{T}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 \\ v|_{t=0} = v_0 \end{cases} \tag{NS_h}$$

This equation in the case of the periodic data on $\mathbb{T}^3$ has been carefully studied in [36], hence we will refer to this work as we go along.

Indeed the equation satisfied by U^ε, i.e. (FS_ε) is a Navier-Stokes equation with zero vertical diffusion and hence can be well described by the system (NS_h). Here we start giving the following energy estimate for three-dimensional anisotropic Navier-Stokes equations

Proposition 5.1. *Let $s \geqslant s_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and v a solution of (NS_h) belonging to the space $\mathcal{C}\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$ whose horizontal gradient $\nabla_h v \in L^2\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$. Let us suppose moreover that $v = \underline{v} + \tilde{v}$ where $\underline{v}$ is the horizontal average of v and $\tilde{v}$ has zero horizontal mean. Suppose moreover that $\left\|\underline{v}(t)\right\|_{H^{s_0}_v} \leqslant c a_3^{-1}\nu_h$ in $[0,T]$, then for $t\in[0,T]$*

$$\|v(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + \nu_h\int_0^t \|\nabla_h v(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \|v_0\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \exp\left(C\int_0^t \|\nabla_h v(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s_0}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau + C\int_0^t \|v(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s_0}}\|\nabla_h v(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s_0}}\right)$$

Remark 5.2. Proposition 5.1 has been proved by M. Paicu for $s \geqslant s_0 > \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed in [34] the limit system was a coupling between a 2d Navier-Stokes system and the oscillating part. Indeed the 2d Navier-Stokes system is globally well posed if the initial data depends on x_h only and it is in $L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^2_h\right)$. The oscillating part instead is globally well posed in $H^{0,s}$ for $s > 1/2$. In our case though the limit flow is the sum of V_{QG} satisfying ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}}$) and the oscillating part U_{osc} which are two three-dimensional vector fields. Now, U_{osc} is globally well posed in $H^{0,s}$ for $s > 1/2$ (see Proposition 4.12), but V_{QG} is globally well posed in $H^{0,s}$ for $s \geqslant 1$ (see Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 4.3). This is why in the following as long as we are required to apply Proposition 5.1 we shall use the index $s_0 > 1$ instead that $s_0 > 1/2$. ♦

For a proof of Proposition 5.1 we refer to the works [34, Proposition 3.1] and [36].

Proposition 5.3. *Let $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbb{T}^3$ an arbitrary torus and $w \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right), \nabla_h w \in L^2\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$ a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + w\cdot\nabla w + u\cdot\nabla w + w\cdot\nabla u - \nu_h\Delta_h w + \nabla p = f \\ \operatorname{div} w = 0 \\ w|_{t=0} = w_0, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $u \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right), \nabla_h u \in L^2\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$ a divergence-free vector field such that its horizontal average satisfies $\left\|\underline{u}(t)\right\|_{H^s_\nu} \leqslant c a_3^{-1}\nu_h$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $f = \underline{f} + \tilde{f}$ is such that

$$\underline{f} \in L^1\left([0,T];H_\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$
$$\tilde{f} \in L^2\left([0,T];H^{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that we have for all $t \in [0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} + \nu_h\int_0^t \|\nabla_h w(s)\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}s \\ \leqslant C\left(\|w_0\|^2_{H^{0,-\frac{1}{2}}} + \int_0^t \left\|\tilde{f}(s)\right\|^2_{H^{-1,-\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \left\|\underline{f}(s)\right\|_{H_\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)\times \\ \exp\left\{\int_0^t \left\|\underline{f}(s)\right\|_{H_\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right\}\exp\left\{\int_0^t \left(1+\|w(s)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h w(s)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}s \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t \left(1+\|u(s)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\right)\|\nabla_h u(s)\|^2_{H^{0,s}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. [34, Proposition 3.2, p. 182] □

Remark 5.4. Let us remark the fact that we impose two different kind of regularities on the exterior force. In order to obtain global results in time we shall apply this proposition for bulk forces which are $f \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1,-1/2}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$. ♦

Remark 5.5. We point out the fact that this proposition can be applied as well to systems with the form

$$\partial_t w + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(w,w) + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon(u,w) - a_h(D)\,w = f, \qquad \operatorname{div} w = 0.$$

♦

Remark 5.6. In the present section our aim is to use Proposition 5.1 and 5.3 to the systems (FS_ε) and (S). Let us compare these two systems with (NS_h): the only structural difference between these two is that in (FS_ε) and (S) the Poincaré semigroup couples velocity field and temperature $v^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon$ in a new variable U^ε, but the structure itself of the equation is unchanged. For this reason Propositions 5.1 and 5.3 can be applied in the present case.

We prove that, given $V_0^\varepsilon \in H^{0,s}$, $s > 1$, the solution of our filtered system (FS_ε) converges to the solutions of the limit system (FS_Ω), ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$) in the sense that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(V^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U\right) = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma}\right)$$
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\nabla_h\left(V^\varepsilon - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)U\right) = 0 \qquad \text{in } L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma}\right)$$

for $\sigma \in [1,s)$, where $U = U_{\text{osc}} + U_{\text{QG}}$ and $U_{\text{QG}} = V_{\text{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega$ with Ω solution of (FS_Ω). To do so we will use a method introduced by S. Schochet in [38] in the framework of hyperbolic systems which allows us to deal with bulk forces which present penalization. A suitable change of variable has to be performed so that the singular perturbations cancels among themselves. The same method has been studied in a wide generality by I. Gallagher in [23] in the generic context of parabolic (nonlinear) equations with singular, linear, skew-symmetric perturbation. We mention as well the works [25] and [34] in which such technique has been used. We want to underline a major difference between the application of Schochet method in the present work and in the work [34]. In [34] in fact the convergence takes place for the values of σ between $1/2$ and s. Indeed in our case $\sigma \in [1,s)$. This difference is motivated by the fact that our limit system is globally well posed in $H^{0,s}$, $s>1$ only. This is due to the fact that we have been proving the propagation of $H^{0,s}$, $s>0$ data for Ω in Proposition 4.9 and hence we have applied Lemma 4.3 to state that $H^{0,s}$, $s>1$ data is propagated for V_{QG}.

Let us denote $T_\varepsilon^\star$ the maximal lifespan of U^ε solution of (FS_ε) in the space $H^{0,s}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ with $s>1$, which exists thanks to the work [36]. Then there exists a time $T_\varepsilon^\star \geqslant T > 0$ such that $U^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$ and $\nabla_h U^\varepsilon \in L^2\left([0,T];H^{0,s}\right)$ uniformly in ε small enough. Let us define $W^\varepsilon = U^\varepsilon - U$ defined on the interval $\left[0,T_\varepsilon^\star\right]$ taking values in $H^{0,s}$. We obtain that W^ε satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t W^\varepsilon + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(W^\varepsilon, W^\varepsilon\right) + \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\varepsilon\left(U, W^\varepsilon\right) - \mathbb{D}^\varepsilon W^\varepsilon = -\left(\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}\right)U - \left(\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(U,U\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(U,U\right)\right), \\ \operatorname{div} w^\varepsilon = 0, \\ W^\varepsilon\big|_{t=0} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where the form $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\varepsilon$ is symmetric, bilinear and defined via

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}^\varepsilon\left(A,B\right) = \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(A,B\right) + \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(B,A\right).$$

Let us define $R^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}\left(U\right) = \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(U,U\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(U,U\right)$. Since $\mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon\left(A,B\right) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{D}'\left(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{T}^3\right)]{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{Q}\left(A,B\right)$, if a,B are at least $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1\right)$, so, even if U and U^ε would have higher regularity then $R^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}} \to 0$ only weakly as long as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Nonetheless is it a strongly oscillating in time function, given by the formula

$$R^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\substack{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}\neq 0 \\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}}\left(U^{a,j}(k)\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^b(n-k)\Big|e^c(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^c(n)\right),$$

where we have been using the notation $\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n} = \omega^a(k) + \omega^b(n-k) - \omega^c(n)$, $a,b,c \in \{\pm\}$, $\omega^\pm(n)$ defined as in (4.4), $U^a(k) = \left(\hat{U}(k)\big|e^a(k)\right)e^a(k)$ and $U^{a,j}$ is the j-th component of U^a.
As well the function $S^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}} = \left(\mathbb{D}^\varepsilon - \mathbb{D}\right)U$ is a highly oscillating function given by the following formula

$$S^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}\left(U\right) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n\neq 0}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\Big|e^a(n)\right)_{\mathbb{C}^4}e^a(n)\right),$$

and as well as $R^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}$ even $S^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ only in $\mathcal{D}'$. For the rest of the section when we write the scalar product $(\cdot|\cdot)$ we implicitly mean $(\cdot|\cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^4}$.

We decompose $R^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}$ and $S^\varepsilon_{\text{osc}}$ in high and low frequencies, i.e.

$$R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,LF}}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}}\sum_{\substack{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}\neq 0 \\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}}\mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\leqslant N\}}\left(U^{a,j}(k)\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^b(n-k)\Big|e^c(n)\right)e^c(n)\right),$$

$$S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,LF}}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}}\sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n\neq 0} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b}_n}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\Big|e^a(n)\right)e^a(n)\right),$$

and

$$R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}}(U) = R^{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{osc}}(U) - R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)$$
$$S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}}(U) = S^{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{osc}}(U) - S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U).$$

Indeed the subscript f_{HF} stands for high frequencies and the subscript f_{LF} stands for low frequencies. Concerning the high frequencies terms the following lemma hold

Lemma 5.7. *If $N \to \infty$ the terms $R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}}(U), S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}}(U)$ tend uniformly to 0 in ε respectively in the space $L^p\left([0,T];H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$ and $L^p\left([0,T];H^{-1,s}\right)$ for all $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$, $s > 1/2$.*

The proof of Lemma 5.7 is postponed to the end of the section for the sake of clarity.
The term $R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)$ tends only weakly to zero. In order to absorb it in the following computations we introduce the following notation

$$\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \sum_{\substack{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}\neq 0 \\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}} \frac{e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}}}{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \left(U^{a,j}(t,k)\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^b(t,n-k)\middle| e^c(n)\right)e^c(n)\right)$$
$$\widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n\neq 0} \frac{e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b}_n}}{i\omega^{a,b}_n}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle| e^a(n)\right)e^a(n)\right).$$

We do as well the following change of unknown

$$\Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}} = W^{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon\left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right). \tag{5.3}$$

Considering the substitution defined in (5.3) into (5.2), and after some algebraic manipulation we obtain that $\Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}}$ satisfies the following equation

$$\partial_t\Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}} + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}}, \Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}} - 2\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right) + 2U\right) - \mathbb{D}^{\varepsilon}\Psi^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{LF}} = \Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}(U), \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N} = R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}} + S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,HF}} + \varepsilon\Gamma^{\varepsilon}_N,$$

and

$$\Gamma^{\varepsilon}_N = \mathbb{D}^{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right), \varepsilon\left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right) - 2U\right) + \left(\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N,t}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U) + \widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N,t}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}}(U)\right) \tag{5.5}$$

and respectively

$$\widetilde{R}^{\varepsilon,N,t}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \sum_{\substack{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}\neq 0 \\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}} \frac{e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}}}{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}}\partial_t\left[\left(U^{a,j}(t,k)\left(n_j-k_j\right)U^b(t,n-k)\middle| e^c(n)\right)e^c(n)\right]\right)$$
$$\widetilde{S}^{\varepsilon,N,t}_{\mathrm{osc,LF}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n\neq 0} \frac{e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b}_n}}{i\omega^{a,b}_n} \times \partial_t\left[\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle| e^a(n)\right)e^a(n)\right]\right).$$

Lemma 5.8. *The term Γ^{ε}_N given by the relation* (5.5) *is bounded uniformly in ε by a constant $C(N)$ which depend solely on N in the spaces $L^p\left([0,T];H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$.*

Proof. The result is due to the fact that we are on the low frequencies, hence we have all the regularity that we want, and, in particular if $\omega_n^{a,b}, \omega_{k,n-k,n}^{a,b,c} \neq 0$ implies that

$$\frac{1}{\left|\omega_n^{a,b}\right|}, \frac{1}{\left|\omega_{k,n-k,n}^{a,b,c}\right|} \leqslant C(N).$$

Whence we easily obtain that Γ_N^ϵ belongs to the space $L^p\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$ and that is uniformly bounded by a constant $C(N)$. □

We remark that for ε sufficiently small the term $U - \varepsilon\left(\widetilde{R}_{\text{osc,LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(U) + \widetilde{S}_{\text{osc,LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(U)\right)$ has a small horizontal mean in H_v^s, whence we can apply Proposition 5.3 to equation (5.4) in order to obtain, for all $t \in \left[0, T_\varepsilon^\star\right]$ the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(t)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 + c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h \Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau &\leqslant \mathcal{C}\left(\|U_0\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(0)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 + \int_0^t \left\|\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t \left\|\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(\int_0^t \left\|\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t \left(1 + \left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2\right)\left\|\nabla_h \Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau\right). \quad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

Since we want to obtain global in time solutions it is important to have $\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}$ at the same time in both spaces $L^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$ and $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$.
We remark the fact that writing the estimate (5.6) we have been using implicitly the bound

$$\int_0^t \left(1 + \|U(\tau)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2\right)\|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \frac{C}{c}\|U(0)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2\left(1 + \|U(0)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2\right),$$

and we denoted $\mathcal{C}\left(\|U_0\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}\right) = \exp\left\{\frac{C}{c}\|U(0)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2\left(1 + \|U(0)\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2\right)\right\}$. Considering Lemma 5.7 we can say that for each $\eta > 0$ there exits a large enough N such that, setting $\mathcal{X} = L^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-1,-1/2}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$,

$$\left\|R_{\text{osc,HF}}^{\varepsilon,N} + S_{\text{osc,HF}}^{\varepsilon,N}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leqslant \frac{\eta}{2},$$

and thanks to Lemma 5.8 for ε sufficiently small

$$\varepsilon\left\|\Gamma_N^\epsilon\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leqslant \varepsilon C(N) \leqslant \frac{\eta}{2},$$

whence we obtain that

$$\left\|\Gamma^{\varepsilon,N}\right\|_{\mathcal{X}} \leqslant \eta.$$

Thanks to the definition (5.3) we can argue that for each $\eta > 0$ if ε is sufficiently small we get

$$\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(t) - W^\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 + c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h \Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau) - \nabla_h W^\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \varepsilon C(N) \leqslant \frac{\eta}{2},$$

in the same way we can write

$$\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(0)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}} = \varepsilon\left\|\widetilde{R}_{\text{osc,LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(U_0) + \widetilde{S}_{\text{osc,LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(U_0)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}} \leqslant \varepsilon C(N)\|U_0\|_{H^{0,s}}^2 \leqslant \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Whence for ε sufficiently small and $t \in \left[0, T_\varepsilon^\star\right)$ we have

$$\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(t)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 + c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h \Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\eta\left(1 + \exp\left(\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h \Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2\left(1 + \left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\right). \quad (5.7)$$

We want to use now the definition of $\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}$ given in (5.3), in particular this implies that $\left\|\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}\right\| = \|W^\varepsilon\| + \mathcal{O}_N(\varepsilon)$ for N fixed. This means that $\Psi_{\text{LF}}^{\varepsilon,N}$ and W^ε have the same norm up to an error which is comparable to ε which is, anyway, considered to be small. Whence (5.7) gives us that

$$\left\|W^\varepsilon(t)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 + c\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h W^\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,-1/2}}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C\eta\left(1 + \exp\left(\int_0^t \left\|\nabla_h W^\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2\left(1 + \left\|W^\varepsilon(\tau)\right\|_{H^{0,s_0}}^2\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\right). \quad (5.8)$$

For the real numbers $s' \in [-1/2, s]$ we introduce the following continuous function

$$f_{\varepsilon,s'}(t) = \left\| W^\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s'}} + \int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| W^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s'}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h W^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s'}} \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

The function $\|W^\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{H^{0,s'}}$ is defined on the interval $\left[0, T^\star_\varepsilon\right)$, by use of (5.8) we get

$$f_{\varepsilon,-1/2}(t) \leqslant \eta(1+e). \tag{5.9}$$

We consider now an $s_0 > 1$ and the maximal time $T^{s_0}_\varepsilon \leqslant T^\star_\varepsilon$ such that for each $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T^{s_0}_\varepsilon$ we have $f_{\varepsilon,s_0}(t) \leqslant 1$. A direct consequence of (5.9) is that for each $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T^{s_0}_\varepsilon$ we get $f_{\varepsilon,-1/2}(t) \leqslant \eta(1+e)$. Interpolating between $H^{0,-1/2}$ and H^{0,s_0} we get

$$f_{\varepsilon,\sigma} = \mathcal{O}\left(\eta^\vartheta\right) \leqslant 1,$$

for some $0 < \vartheta = \vartheta(s_0, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\sigma \to s_0} 0$, and $0 < \sigma \in (-1/2, s_0)$.
We consider at this point $U^\varepsilon = W^\varepsilon + U$, since U has zero horizontal mean and since $V^\varepsilon_0 - \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_0 \to 0$ in $H^{0,s}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ by hypothesis of Theorem 2.13 (and U_0 has zero horizontal average as in the statement of Theorem 2.13), we get that $\left\| \underline{U^\varepsilon}(t) \right\|_{H^\sigma_\nu} \ll 1$ as long as ε is sufficiently small. At this point we infer via Proposition 5.1 obtaining

$$\left\| U^\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant C \exp\left(\int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau \right), \tag{5.10}$$

on the other hand $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T^{s_0}_\varepsilon$, and since $U^\varepsilon = W^\varepsilon + U$ we get

$$\int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}s \leqslant f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) + \int_0^t \left(1 + \|U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau + F_\sigma(t). \tag{5.11}$$

$F_\sigma(t)$ in particular is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_\sigma(t) &= \int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| W^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t \left(1 + \|U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h W^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\
&\leqslant \int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| W^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau + \left(1 + \|U\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma})}\right) f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) \\
&\leqslant \left(\sup_{[0,t]} f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}\right) \|\nabla_h U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma})} + \left(1 + \|U\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma})}\right) f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t),
\end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies that, considering the above estimate in (5.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}s \leqslant f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) &+ \int_0^t \left(1 + \|U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}\tau \\
&+ \left(\sup_{[0,t]} f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}\right) \|\nabla_h U\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma})} + \left(1 + \|U\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,\sigma})}\right) f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We have seen though that in $\left[0, T^{s_0}_\varepsilon\right]$ that $f_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(t) \leqslant 1$ for $\sigma \in (-1/2, s_0)$, and since $U \in L^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{0,\sigma}\right)$ and $\nabla_h U \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{0,\sigma}\right)$ for $\sigma \in (1, s_0]$ (this is simply Proposition 4.9 combined with Lemma 4.3), we obtained that

$$\int_0^t \left(1 + \left\| U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}}\right) \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(\tau) \right\|^2_{H^{0,\sigma}} \mathrm{d}s \leqslant C.$$

If we consider the above bound in (5.10) we have hence obtained that

$$\left\| U^\varepsilon(t) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s}} + c\int_0^t \left\| \nabla_h U^\varepsilon(s) \right\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \mathrm{d}s \leqslant C,$$

for all times $t \in \left[0, T^{s_0}_\varepsilon\right)$ and $s > 1$. We deduce that $T^{s_0}_\varepsilon = T^\star_\varepsilon$ and since the constant C is independent of the time t, this implies that $U^\varepsilon(t)$ can be prolonged in $H^{0,s}$ beyond $T^\star_\varepsilon$ and hence we obtain that $T^\star_\varepsilon = \infty$ as long as ε is sufficiently small. Recalling that $\|W^\varepsilon\| = o(1)$ in $\left[0, T^\star_\varepsilon\right)$ we deduce that $U^\varepsilon \to U$ globally in time in $H^{0,\sigma}$ for $-1/2 \leqslant \sigma < s$.

Proof of Lemma 5.7. In the following the index s addressing to the anisotropic Sobolev space $H^{0,s}$ is always considered to be $s > 1$. An interesting feature is that if $s > 1/2$ then H^s_v is a Banach algebra. We shall use this property all along the proof. We perform at first the estimates for the term $R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}}$. Since $U(t)$ is of zero horizontal average for all $t > 0$ and $\nabla_h U \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$ we obtain that $U \in L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$. Consequently $U \in \mathcal{C}\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{0,s}\right)$, and, interpolating $U \in L^{p'}\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{0,s}\right)$ for each $p' \in [2,\infty]$.
Let us observe that the term $R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}}$ can be decomposed as

$$R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}} = R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},1} + R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},2},$$

where we denoted

$$R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\geqslant N\}} R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}}\right),$$

and

$$R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},2}(U) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\leqslant N\}} \sum_{\substack{\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}\neq 0 \\ 1\leqslant j\leqslant 3}} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b,c}_{k,n-k,n}} \mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\geqslant N\}} \left(U^{a,j}(k)\left(n_j - k_j\right) U^b(n-k)\middle| e^c(n)\right) e^c(n).\right)$$

For the first term we use the fact that we are on the high frequencies and of an element in $L^p\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H^{-1,-1/2}\right)$ which tends uniformly at zero as long as $\varepsilon \to 0$ thanks to Lebesgue theorem and Sobolev embeddings. In fact

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|R^{\varepsilon}_{\text{osc}}(U)\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} &\leqslant \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k+m=n}\left(U^a(k)\otimes U^b(m)\middle| e^c(n)\right)_{\mathbb{Z}^4} e^c(n)\right)\right\|_{H^{0,1/2}} \\ &= \|U\otimes U\|_{H^{0,1/2}} \\ &\lesssim \|U\|^2_{H^{1/2,s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, since U has null horizontal average we can apply Lemma 3.4 to obtain finally that

$$\left\|R^{\varepsilon}_{\text{osc}}(U)\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} \lesssim \|U\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U\|_{H^{0,s}}.$$

Since $L^2([0,T]) \subset L^{p'}([0,T])$ for $p' \in [1,2)$ if we prove that $\left\|R^{\varepsilon}_{\text{osc}}(U)\right\|_{L^2([0,T];H^{-1,-1/2})} < \infty$ we can apply Lebesgue theorem and conclude that $\left\|R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},1}\right\|_{L^{p'}([0,T];H^{-1,-1/2})} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But this is in fact true since

$$\left\|\|U\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U\|_{H^{0,s}}\right\|^2_{L^2_t} = \int_0^t \|U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U(\tau)\|^2_{H^{0,s}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leqslant \|U\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,s})} \|\nabla_h U\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{0,s})}.$$

For the second term we argue as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},2}\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} &\leqslant \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k+m=n}\mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\geqslant N\}}\left(\left(U^a(k)\otimes U^b(m)\right)\middle| e^c(n)\right)e^c(n)\right)\right\|_{H^{0,1/2}} \\ &\leqslant \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k+m=n}\mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\geqslant N\}}\left(\hat{U}(k)\middle| e^a(k)\right)e^a(k)\right)\right\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \|u\|_{H^{1/2,s}},\end{aligned}$$

and, using (3.10) we obtain the following bound

$$\left\|R^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc},2}\right\|_{H^{-1,-1/2}} \leqslant \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\geqslant N\}} U^a(k)\right)\right\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|k|\geqslant N\}} (\nabla_h U)^a(k)\right)\right\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \|U\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}},$$

which evidently tends to zero thanks to Lebesgue theorem.
For the term $S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}}$ it comes straightforward since

$$\left\|S^{\varepsilon,N}_{\text{osc,HF}}\right\|_{H^{-1,s}} = \left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|n|\geqslant N\}}\sum_{\omega^{a,b}_n\neq 0} e^{i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\omega^{a,b}_n}\left(\mathbf{D}(n)U^b(n)\middle| e^a(n)\right)e^a(n)\right)\right\|_{H^{-1,s}} \leqslant C\|\nabla_h U\|_{H^{0,s}}.$$

□

A The energy estimates

In this appendix we refer to V_{QG} and U_{osc} respectively as the solution of equation ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}}$) and ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$). Moreover $v_{\mathrm{QG}}, u_{\mathrm{osc}}$ represents the projection of the first three components of V_{QG} and U_{osc}.
The aim of this section is essentially to give an energy bound for the bilinear term appearing in equation ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$).
Given a generic vector field u we refer to $\underline{u}$ as the horizontal average of u. This gives the natural decomposition $u = \underline{u} + \tilde{u}$. Since $\tilde{u}$ has zero horizontal average the results given in the Subsection 3.1.3 can be applied.

A.1 Estimates for the global well-posedness of the limit system.

Proposition A.1. *Let* $V_{\mathrm{QG}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_h^\perp \\ 0 \\ -F\partial_3 \end{pmatrix} \Delta_F^{-1}\Omega$ *where* Ω *is the potential vorticity defined in* (4.10)*, then*

$$\left(\triangle_q^v\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\cdot\nabla_h\Omega\right)\middle|\triangle_q^v\Omega\right) \leqslant C2^{-2qs}b_q(t) \times\left[\|\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2}+\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}\right], \quad (A.1)$$

where $\left(b_q\right)_q$ *is a* $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ *positive sequence which depends on* Ω *and such that* $\sum_q b_q(t)\leqslant 1$.

Proof. Thanks to Bony decomposition (3.3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_q^v\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\cdot\nabla_h\Omega\right) = {} & S_{q-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\triangle_q^v\nabla_h\Omega + \\ & \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4}\left(\left[\triangle_q^v; S_{q'-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right]\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\Omega + \left(S_{q'-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right)\triangle_q^v\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\Omega\right) \\ & + \sum_{q'>q-4}\triangle_q^v\left(S_{q'+2}^v\nabla_h\Omega\triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right), \quad (A.2)\end{aligned}$$

and hence we can decompose $\left(\triangle_q^v\left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\cdot\nabla_h\Omega\right)\middle|\triangle_q^v\Omega\right) = \sum_{k=1}^4 I_h^k(q)$.

First of all, since $\operatorname{div}_h v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h = 0$ we have $I_h^1 = 0$. We remark that we proved in Lemma 4.4 that $\underline{v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h} = 0$. Whence $v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h = \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h$. Moreover $\nabla_h\Omega = \nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^2(q) &= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4}\left(\left[\triangle_q^v; S_{q'-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right]\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\Omega\middle|\triangle_q^v\Omega\right) \\ &= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4}\left(\left[\triangle_q^v; S_{q'-1}^v\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right]\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}\middle|\triangle_q^v\tilde{\Omega}\right) + \left(\left[\triangle_q^v; S_{q'-1}^v\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right]\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}\middle|\triangle_q^v\underline{\Omega}\right) = I_h^{2,1}(q) + I_h^{2,2}(q).\end{aligned}$$

We consider first the term $I_h^{2,1}$. By Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.6 we can deduce

$$I_h^{2,1}(q) \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} 2^{-q}\left\|S_{q'-1}^v\partial_3\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^4}\left\|\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\left\|\triangle_q^v\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4}$$

we can hence apply (3.9) to the term $\left\|S_{q'-1}^v\partial_3\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^4}$ and (3.7) to $\left\|\triangle_q^v\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4}$, and then (3.4) and Lemma 4.3 in order to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^{2,1}(q) &\lesssim \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} 2^{-q+q'/2}\left\|\partial_3\tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\left\|\partial_3\nabla_h\tilde{v}^h\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\left\|\triangle_{q'}^v\nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\left\|\triangle_q^v\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\left\|\triangle_q^v\nabla_h\tilde{\Omega}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim b_q(t)\,2^{-q/2-2qs}\|\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2}\|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2}\|\nabla_h\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2}. \quad (A.3)\end{aligned}$$

For the following terms the tools used are the same as for the term $I_h^{2,1}(q)$, hence, we shall not explain the

procedure in details. For the term $I_h^{2,2}(q)$

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^{2,2}(q) \lesssim & 2^{-q} \left\| S_{q'-1}^v \partial_3 \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2} \left\| \triangle_{q'}^v \nabla_h \tilde{\Omega} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \triangle_q^v \underline{\Omega} \right\|_{L_v^2} \\ \lesssim & b_q(t) 2^{-2qs-q'/2} \left\| \nabla_h \partial_3 V_{\mathrm{QG}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \\ \lesssim & b_q(t) 2^{-2qs-q'/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where in the first inequality we have used (3.8) and by Poincaré inequality in the horizontal variable to obtain

$$\left\| S_{q'-1}^v \partial_3 \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^2} \lesssim 2^{q'/2} \left\| S_{q'-1}^v \nabla_h \partial_3 \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$$

Next, we consider the term

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^3(q) = & \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left(\left(S_{q'-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \triangle_q^v \triangle_{q'}^v \nabla_h \Omega \middle| \triangle_q^v \Omega \right) \\ = & \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left(\left(S_{q'-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \triangle_q^v \triangle_{q'}^v \nabla_h \tilde{\Omega} \middle| \triangle_q^v \tilde{\Omega} \right) + \left(\left(S_{q'-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \triangle_q^v \triangle_{q'}^v \nabla_h \tilde{\Omega} \middle| \triangle_q^v \underline{\Omega} \right) \\ & = I_h^{3,1}(q) + I_h^{3,2}(q). \end{aligned}$$

With calculations similar and since $\operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{F}\left(S_{q'-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \subset \bigcup_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} 2^q \mathcal{C}$, and hence localized from above and below in the frequency space, using respectively in the first inequality (3.9), Bernstein inequality, (3.7), (3.4) and Lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^{3,1}(q) \leqslant & \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left\| S_{q'-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h - S_{q-1}^v \tilde{v}_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^4} \left\| \triangle_q^v \triangle_{q'}^v \nabla_h \tilde{\Omega} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \triangle_q^v \tilde{\Omega} \right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \\ \lesssim & b_q(t) 2^{-q/2-2qs} \left\| \partial_3 V_{\mathrm{QG}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \left\| \nabla_h \partial_3 V_{\mathrm{QG}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2} \\ \lesssim & b_q(t) 2^{-q/2-2qs} \|\Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2} \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The procedure for the term $I_h^{3,2}(q)$ is almost the same as the one for the term $I_h^{3,1}(q)$, except that we do not use (3.7) and we use Poincaré inequality in the horizontal variables

$$I_h^{3,2}(q) \leqslant b_q(t) 2^{-q/2-2qs} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.6}$$

The last term

$$\begin{aligned} I_h^4(q) = & \sum_{q'>q-1} \left(\triangle_q^v \left(S_{q'+2}^v \nabla_h \Omega \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v \Omega \right) \\ & = \sum_{q'>q-1} \left(\triangle_q^v \left(S_{q'+2}^v \nabla_h \Omega \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v \tilde{\Omega} \right) + \sum_{q'>q-1} \left(\triangle_q^v \left(S_{q'+2}^v \nabla_h \Omega \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v \underline{\Omega} \right) \\ & = I_h^{4,1}(q) + I_h^{4,2}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Let us deal with the term $I_h^{4,1}(q)$. Applying Hölder inequality we deduce

$$I_h^{4,1}(q) \leqslant \sum_{q'>q-1} \left\| \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^4} \left\| S_{q'+2}^v \nabla_h \Omega \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \triangle_q^v \tilde{\Omega} \right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4}.$$

Using Bernstein inequality twice,(3.7), Lemma 4.3 and lastly (3.4) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^\infty L_h^4} \lesssim & 2^{q'/2} \left\| \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \lesssim 2^{-q'/2} \left\| \partial_3 \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \\ \lesssim & 2^{-q'/2} \left\| \partial_3 \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \left\| \partial_3 \nabla_h \triangle_{q'}^v v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \lesssim 2^{-q'/2} \left\| \triangle_{q'}^v \Omega \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \left\| \nabla_h \triangle_{q'}^v \Omega \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim c_{q'}(\Omega, t) 2^{-q'/2-q's} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of (3.7) and (3.4) gives instead

$$\left\| \triangle_q^v \tilde{\Omega} \right\|_{L_v^2 L_h^4} \lesssim c_q(\Omega,t)\, 2^{-qs} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2}, \tag{A.7}$$

whence we deduce the bound

$$I_h^{4,1}(q) \leqslant C 2^{-2qs-q/2} b_q(\Omega,t) \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}.$$

To bound the term $I_h^{4,2}(q)$ is a similar procedure and hence is omitted. Whence collecting estimates (A.3)–(A.7) we deduce the bound (A.1). □

Proposition A.2. *Let V_{QG} and U_{osc} respectively be the solution of equation* ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{QG}}$) *and* ($\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{osc}}$)*, then if the horizontal mean of V_{QG} and U_{osc} is zero (see Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5) the following estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}(V_{\mathrm{QG}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} + \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\ \leqslant C 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \\ + C 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2}\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}(U_{\mathrm{osc}}, U_{\mathrm{osc}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leqslant C b_q(t) 2^{-2qs} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}} \\ + C b_q(t) 2^{-2qs} \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^{1/2} \|U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

The sequence $(b_q)_q$ is a $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ positive sequence which depends on Ω, U_{osc} and such that $\sum_q b_q(t) \leqslant 1$.

Remark A.3. From now on $(\cdot|\cdot) = (\cdot|\cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}$ ♦

Proof. We shall divide the proof of the above proposition in two parts, namely one part for each estimate. In the following we always consider $s > 1/2$, hence in particular the embedding $H_v^s \hookrightarrow L_v^\infty$ holds true. Moreover we underline the fact that $V_{\mathrm{QG}}(t)$ and $U_{\mathrm{osc}}(t)$ have zero horizontal average for each $t > 0$ is the initial data has zero horizontal average thanks to the results of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, whence the estimates (3.7) and (3.10) can be applied in this context as well as Lemma 2.2.

Proof of (A.8)*:* in order to prove the estimate (A.8) we shall substitute the bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$ with the transport bilinear form. This choice is done only in order to simplify the notation.

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \left(\triangle_q^v (v_{\mathrm{QG}} \cdot \nabla U_{\mathrm{osc}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| &= \left| \left(\triangle_q^v \left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \cdot \nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right|, \\ &= \left| \left(\mathrm{div}_h \triangle_q^v \left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \otimes U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right|, \\ \left| \left(\triangle_q^v (u_{\mathrm{osc}} \cdot \nabla V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| &\leqslant \left| \left(\mathrm{div}_h \triangle_q^v \left(u_{\mathrm{osc}}^h \otimes V_{\mathrm{QG}} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \left(\partial_3 \triangle_q^v (u_{\mathrm{osc}}^3 \, V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right|,\end{aligned}$$

and indeed

$$\left| \left(\mathrm{div}_h \triangle_q^v \left(v_{\mathrm{QG}}^h \otimes U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| + \left| \left(\mathrm{div}_h \triangle_q^v \left(u_{\mathrm{osc}}^h \otimes V_{\mathrm{QG}} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| \leqslant 2 \left| \left(\triangle_q^v (U_{\mathrm{osc}} \otimes V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v \nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right|,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \left(\triangle_q^v (v_{\mathrm{QG}} \cdot \nabla U_{\mathrm{osc}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| + \left| \left(\triangle_q^v (u_{\mathrm{osc}} \cdot \nabla V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| \\ \leqslant 2 \left| \left(\triangle_q^v (U_{\mathrm{osc}} \otimes V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v \nabla_h U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| + \left| \left(\partial_3 \triangle_q^v (u_{\mathrm{osc}}^3 \, V_{\mathrm{QG}}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\mathrm{osc}} \right) \right| = B_h(q) + B_v(q).\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.4) and Lemma 2.2 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} B_h(q) &\lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \left\| U_{\rm osc} \otimes V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}, \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \left\| V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

An application of Poincaré inequality and and (3.10) allow us to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{1/2,s}} &\lesssim \left\| \nabla_h V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{1/2,s}}, \\ &\lesssim \left\| \nabla_h V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \left\| \nabla_h^2 V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 4.3 leads to

$$\left\| \nabla_h V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \left\| \nabla_h^2 V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2},$$

whence with use of (3.10) we deduce the bound

$$B_h(q) \lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \|\Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{1/2} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}^{3/2}. \tag{A.11}$$

The term B_v can instead be written as

$$B_v(q) = \left| \left(\triangle_q^v \left({\rm div}_h \, u_{\rm osc}^h \, V_{\rm QG} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \right| + \left| \left(\triangle_q^v \left(u_{\rm osc}^3 \, \partial_3 V_{\rm QG} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \right| = B_v^1(q) + B_v^2(q).$$

For the term $B_v^1(q)$, applying (3.4) and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} B_v^1(q) &\lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(\,t)(\Omega, U_{\rm osc}) \left\| {\rm div}_h \, u_{\rm osc}^h \, V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{-1/2,s}} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \left\| V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{1/2,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the same estimate as (A.10) and whence we can deduce the same bound as for $B_h(q)$. i.e. (A.11).
The term $B_v^2(q)$ is indeed less regular due to the presence of the vertical derivative. Similarly as before we can apply (3.4) and Lemma 2.2 to deduce

$$B_v^2(q) \lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \left\| \partial_3 V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{1/2,s}}^2.$$

Poincaré inequality and Lemma 4.3 imply

$$\left\| \partial_3 V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}} \lesssim \left\| \partial_3 \nabla_h V_{\rm QG} \right\|_{H^{0,s}} \lesssim \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}},$$

while using (3.10) we can conclude with the following bound

$$B_v^2(q) \lesssim 2^{-2qs} b_q(t) \|\nabla_h \Omega\|_{H^{0,s}} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.12}$$

Whence (A.11) and (A.12) prove (A.8).

Proof of (A.9): Lastly we consider the term

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}(U_{\rm osc}, U_{\rm osc}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) &= \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}^h(U_{\rm osc}, U_{\rm osc}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) + \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}^3(U_{\rm osc}, U_{\rm osc}) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ &= C^h(q) + C^v(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}^h$ and $\mathcal{Q}^3$ are respectively defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}^h(U_{\rm osc}, U_{\rm osc}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \left[\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc}^\varepsilon \right)^h \cdot \nabla_h \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right], \tag{A.13}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}^3(U_{\rm osc}, U_{\rm osc}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{L}\left(-\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \left[\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc}^\varepsilon \right)^3 \partial_3 \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right] \tag{A.14}$$

By aid of Bony decomposition as in (3.2) we can say that

$$\begin{aligned} C^h(q) = \sum_{|q-q'| \leqslant 4} \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}^h \left(S_{q'-1}^v U_{\rm osc}, \triangle_{q'}^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) + \sum_{q' > q-4} \left(\triangle_q^v \mathcal{Q}^h \left(\triangle_{q'}^v U_{\rm osc}, S_{q'+2}^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle_q^v U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ = C_1^h(q) + C_2^h(q). \end{aligned}$$

By use of Lemma A.4

$$C_1^h(q) \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left\| S^\nu_{q'-1} U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}} \left\| \triangle^\nu_{q'} \nabla_h U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}},$$

moreover since $U_{\rm osc}$ is a vector field with zero horizontal average we can apply (3.7)

$$\left\| S^\nu_{q'-1} U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}} \lesssim \|U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}, \quad \left\| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}} \lesssim \left\| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \nabla_h \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)},$$

whence thanks to (3.4) and the fact that we are summing on a finite set of q'

$$C_1^h(q) \lesssim b_q(t) 2^{-2qs} \|U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|^{3/2}_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.15}$$

Similar computations give us the result for C_2^h, here we sketch the procedure. Respectively using (A.21), (3.4) and summing on the summation set

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^h(q) &= \sum_{q'>q-4} \left(\triangle^\nu_q \mathcal{Q}^h \left(\triangle^\nu_{q'} U_{\rm osc}, S^\nu_{q'+2} U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ &\lesssim b_q(t) 2^{-2qs} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

On the term C^ν we apply instead Bony decomposition as in (A.2) obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} C^\nu(q) = &\left(\mathcal{Q}^3 \left(S^\nu_{q-1} U_{\rm osc}, \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) + \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left(\mathcal{Q}^3 \left(S^\nu_{q-1} U_{\rm osc} - S^\nu_{q'-1} U_{\rm osc}, \triangle^\nu_q \triangle^\nu_{q'} U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(\left[\triangle^\nu_q, S^\nu_{q'-1} \left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right)^3 \right] \triangle^\nu_{q'} \partial_3 \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \middle| \triangle^\nu_q \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{q'>q-4} \left(\triangle^\nu_q \mathcal{Q}^3 \left(\triangle^\nu_{q'} U_{\rm osc}, S^\nu_{q'+2} U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^4 C_k^\nu(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}^3$ is defined in (A.14). Let us consider the term $C_1^\nu(q)$ first. Integration by parts and the fact that we are considering divergence-free vector fields gives us

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^\nu(q) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} S^\nu_{q-1} \left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right)^3 \partial_3 \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} S^\nu_{q-1} \mathrm{div}_h \left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right)^h \left| \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover using the fact that $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ is an isometry on Sobolev spaces, and (A.21) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^\nu(q) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} S^\nu_{q-1} \mathrm{div}_h \left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\rm osc} \right)^h \left(\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right)^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\lesssim b_q(t) 2^{-2qs} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}} \|\nabla_h U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

Let us consider the term C_2^ν which is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} C_2^\nu(q) &= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \left(\mathcal{Q}^3 \left(S^\nu_{q-1} U_{\rm osc} - S^\nu_{q'-1} U_{\rm osc}, \triangle^\nu_q \triangle^\nu_{q'} U_{\rm osc} \right) \middle| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \sum_{\substack{(k,m,n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star \\ a,b,c,d=\pm}} \left(\widehat{S^\nu_{q-1} U}^{a,3}(k) - \widehat{S^\nu_{q'-1} U}^{a,3}(k) \right) m_3 \widehat{\triangle^\nu_q \triangle^\nu_{q'} U}^{b,c}(m,n) \widehat{\triangle^\nu_q U}^{d}(n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{U}^{b,c}(m,n) = \left(\hat{U}^b(m) \middle| e^c(n) \right) e^c(n)$. Since the eigenvectors e^c can always be considered normalized to norm one we deduce $\left| \hat{U}^{b,c}(m,n) \right| \lesssim \left| U^b(m) \right|$. At this point we can use Lemma A.4 to obtain the bound

$$C_2^\nu(q) \lesssim \sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant 4} \sum_{a=\pm} \left\| S^\nu_{q-1} U^{a,3} - S^\nu_{q'-1} U^{a,3} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \left\| \triangle^\nu_q \triangle^\nu_{q'} \partial_3 U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}} \left\| \triangle^\nu_q U_{\rm osc} \right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}.$$

We remark that the term U^a is in fact divergence-free.
Thanks to Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|S^{\nu}_{q-1}U^{a,3}-S^{\nu}_{q'-1}U^{a,3}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\lesssim&2^{-q}\left\|\left(S^{\nu}_{q-1}-S^{\nu}_{q'-1}\right)\partial_3U^{a,3}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\\ \lesssim&2^{-q}\left\|\left(S^{\nu}_{q-1}-S^{\nu}_{q'-1}\right)\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\\ \left\|\triangle^{\nu}_q\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}\partial_3U_{\rm osc}\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}\lesssim&2^{q}\left\|\triangle^{\nu}_q\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}U_{\rm osc}\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence using first (3.4) and then (3.10)

$$C^{\nu}_2(q)\lesssim b_q(t)2^{-2qs}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.18}$$

The term $C^{\nu}_3(q)$ will be handled in a different way. First of all, writing $f_\varepsilon=\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)f$ and considering that commutators can be expressed as convolutions (as it has been expressed in detail in the Section 3.1.2, see equation (3.5)) we can write $C^{\nu}_3(q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}C^{\nu}_3(q)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\int_{\mathbb{T}^1_\nu\times[0,1]}\tilde h\left(2^qy_3\right)\left(S^{\nu}_{q'-1}\partial_3U^3_{{\rm osc},\varepsilon}\right)\left(x_h,x_3+\tau\left(x_3-y_3\right)\right)\\ \times\partial_3\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}U_{{\rm osc},\varepsilon}\left(x_h,x_3-y_3\right)\triangle^{\nu}_qU_{{\rm osc},\varepsilon}(x)\mathrm{d}y_3\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}x_h\mathrm{d}x_3,\end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde h(z)=zh(z)$ and $h=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi$. Taking the limit as $\varepsilon\to0$, using the divergence free-property we obtain the following bound

$$\begin{aligned}\left|C^{\nu}_3(q)\right|\leqslant\sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^1_\nu\times[0,1]}\sum_{(k,n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star}\tilde h\left(2^qy_3\right)\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\left(S^{\nu}_{q'-1}\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\right)\left(x_h,x_3+\tau\left(x_3-y_3\right)\right)\right)(k)\right|\\ \times\left|\mathcal{F}\left(\partial_3\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}U_{\rm osc}\left(x_h,x_3-y_3\right)\right)(n-k)\widehat{\triangle^{\nu}_qU_{\rm osc}}(n)\right|\mathrm{d}y_3\mathrm{d}\tau,\end{aligned}$$

applying Lemma A.4

$$\begin{aligned}C^{\nu}_3(q)\lesssim\sum_{|q-q'|\leqslant4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^1_\nu\times[0,1]}\tilde h\left(2^qy_3\right)\left\|S^{\nu}_{q'-1}\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\left(x_h,x_3+\tau\left(x_3-y_3\right)\right)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\\ \times\left\|\partial_3\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}U_{\rm osc}\left(x_h,x_3-y_3\right)\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}\left\|\triangle^{\nu}_qU_{\rm osc}\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}\mathrm{d}y_3\mathrm{d}\tau,\end{aligned}$$

by standard calculations, localization of the term $\partial_3\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}U_{\rm osc}$ and (3.7) we obtain

$$C^{\nu}_3(q)\lesssim b_q(t)2^{-2qs}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|U_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.19}$$

Lastly, for the reminder term $C^{\nu}_4(q)$, if we apply Lemma A.4 and Lemma 3.1 as for the term $C^{\nu}_2(q)$ we get

$$C^{\nu}_4(q)\lesssim\sum_{q'>q-4}\left\|S^{\nu}_{q'+2}U_{\rm osc}\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}}\left\|\triangle^{\nu}_{q'}\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\left\|\triangle^{\nu}_qU_{\rm osc}\right\|_{H^{1/2,0}},$$

hence by localization and the interpolation (3.10) we obtain

$$C^{\nu}_4(q)\lesssim b_q(t)2^{-2qs}\|U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}\|U_{\rm osc}\|^{1/2}_{H^{0,s}}\|\nabla_hU_{\rm osc}\|^{3/2}_{H^{0,s}}. \tag{A.20}$$

The estimates (A.15)–(A.20) prove hence (A.9). □

A.2 The bilinear form $\mathcal{Q}$.

In this section we state some particular property of the quadratic limit form defined in (4.14). In particular we state a product rule which can be applied thanks to the particular structure of the resonance set $\mathcal{K}^\star=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\mathcal{K}^\star_n$, which is a crucial feature in the energy estimates for the limit system.
The following property has been remarked at first by A. Babin et al. in [4], but was first explicitly proved by M. Paicu in [34]. The proof is based on the fact that, fixed (k_h,n), the fiber $\mathcal{J}(k_h,n)=\left\{k_3:(k,n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star\right\}$ is of finite cardinality.

Lemma A.4. *Let $a, b \in H^{1/2,0}\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right), c \in L^2\left(\mathbb{T}^3\right)$ vector fields of zero horizontal average on $\mathbb{T}^2_h$. Then there exists a constant C which depends only of a_1/a_2 such that*

$$\left| \sum_{(k,n)\in\mathcal{K}^\star} \hat{a}(k)\,\hat{b}(n-k)\,\hat{c}(n) \right| \leqslant \frac{C}{a_3} \|a\|_{H^{1/2,0}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|b\|_{H^{1/2,0}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|c\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \tag{A.21}$$

The following proof can be found [17, Lemma 6.6, p. 150] or [34, Lemma 6.4, p. 222].

References

[1] Robert A. Adams and John J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.

[2] Serge Alinhac and Patrick Gérard, *Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels et théorème de Nash-Moser*, Savoirs Actuels. [Current Scholarship], InterEditions, Paris; Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Meudon, 1991.

[3] Anatoli Babin, Alex Mahalov, and Basil Nicolaenko, *Global splitting, integrability and regularity of 3D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations for uniformly rotating fluids*, European J. Mech. B Fluids **15** (1996), no. 3, 291–300.

[4] ———, *Global regularity of 3D rotating Navier-Stokes equations for resonant domains*, Appl. Math. Lett. **13** (2000), no. 4, 51–57.

[5] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[6] Jean-Michel Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure **14** (1981), no. 2, 209–246 (fre).

[7] Alfred J. Bourgeois and J. Thomas Beale, *Validity of the quasigeostrophic model for large-scale flow in the atmosphere and ocean*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **25** (1994), no. 4, 1023–1068.

[8] Frédéric Charve, *Global well-posedness and asymptotics for a geophysical fluid system*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), no. 11-12, 1919–1940.

[9] ———, *Convergence of weak solutions for the primitive system of the quasigeostrophic equations*, Asymptot. Anal. **42** (2005), no. 3-4, 173–209.

[10] ———, *Estimations de Strichartz pour les équations primitives et convergence quasigéostrophique*, Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles. 2004–2005, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2005, pp. Exp. No. XVIII, 17.

[11] Frédéric Charve and Van-Sang Ngo, *Global existence for the primitive equations with small anisotropic viscosity*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **27** (2011), no. 1, 1–38.

[12] Jean-Yves Chemin, *À propos d'un problème de pénalisation de type antisymétrique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **76** (1997), no. 9, 739–755.

[13] ———, *Perfect incompressible fluids*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 14, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998, Translated from the 1995 French original by Isabelle Gallagher and Dragos Iftimie.

[14] Jean-Yves Chemin, Benoît Desjardins, Isabelle Gallagher, and Emmanuel Grenier, *Fluids with anisotropic viscosity*, M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **34** (2000), no. 2, 315–335, Special issue for R. Temam's 60th birthday.

[15] ———, *Anisotropy and dispersion in rotating fluids*, Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar, Vol. XIV (Paris, 1997/1998), Stud. Math. Appl., vol. 31, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 171–192.

[16] ———, *Ekman boundary layers in rotating fluids*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **8** (2002), 441–466 (electronic), A tribute to J. L. Lions.

[17] ———, *Mathematical geophysics*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 32, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006, An introduction to rotating fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations.

[18] Jean-Yves Chemin and Nicolas Lerner, *Flot de champs de vecteurs non lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes*, J. Differential Equations **121** (1995), no. 2, 314–328.

[19] Benoit Cushman-Roisin and Jean-Marie Beckers, *Introduction to geophysical fluid dynamics: physical and numerical aspects*, vol. 101, Academic Press, 2011.

[20] Alexandre Dutrifoy, *Slow convergence to vortex patches in quasigeostrophic balance*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **171** (2004), no. 3, 417–449.

[21] Pedro F. Embid and Andrew J. Majda, *Averaging over fast gravity waves for geophysical flows with arbitrary potential vorticity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996), no. 3-4, 619–658.

[22] ———, *Low Froude number limiting dynamics for stably stratified flow with small or finite Rossby numbers*, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dynam. **87** (1998), no. 1-2, 1–50.

[23] Isabelle Gallagher, *Applications of Schochet's methods to parabolic equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **77** (1998), no. 10, 989–1054.

[24] Isabelle Gallagher and Laure Saint-Raymond, *Weak convergence results for inhomogeneous rotating fluid equations*, J. Anal. Math. **99** (2006), 1–34.

[25] Emmanuel Grenier, *Oscillatory perturbations of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **76** (1997), no. 6, 477–498.

[26] Emmanuel Grenier and Nader Masmoudi, *Ekman layers of rotating fluids, the case of well prepared initial data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **22** (1997), no. 5-6, 953–975.

[27] Dragoş Iftimie, *The 3D Navier-Stokes equations seen as a perturbation of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **127** (1999), no. 4, 473–517.

[28] ———, *Approximation of the quasigeostrophic system with the primitive systems*, Asymptot. Anal. **21** (1999), no. 2, 89–97.

[29] ———, *A uniqueness result for the Navier-Stokes equations with vanishing vertical viscosity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **33** (2002), no. 6, 1483–1493 (electronic). MR 1920641

[30] J.-L. Lions, R. Temam, and S. Wang, *New formulations of the primitive equations of atmosphere and applications*, Nonlinearity **5** (1992), no. 2, 237–288.

[31] ———, *Geostrophic asymptotics of the primitive equations of the atmosphere*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **4** (1994), no. 2, 253–287.

[32] Fujiwara M, *Über die obere schranke des absoluten betrages der wurzeln einer algebraischen gleichung*, Tôhoku Math J (1916).

[33] Nader Masmoudi, *Ekman layers of rotating fluids: the case of general initial data*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53** (2000), no. 4, 432–483.

[34] Marius Paicu, *Étude asymptotique pour les fluides anisotropes en rotation rapide dans le cas périodique*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **83** (2004), no. 2, 163–242.

[35] ———, *Équation anisotrope de Navier-Stokes dans des espaces critiques*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **21** (2005), no. 1, 179–235.

[36] ———, *Équation periodique de Navier-Stokes sans viscosité dans une direction*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), no. 7-9, 1107–1140.

[37] Joseph Pedlosky, *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[38] Steven Schochet, *Fast singular limits of hyperbolic PDEs*, J. Differential Equations **114** (1994), no. 2, 476–512.

[39] Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.

IMB, UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX
351, cours de la Libération, Bureau 255, 33405 Talence , France
E-mail address: stefano.scrobogna@math.u-bordeaux.fr